



NORTH AMERICAN

"For People Who Take Their LIBERTY Seriously"

NEWS SERVICE

Cascadian Resource Center, c/o P.O. Box 5290, Eugene, Oregon state, usA Postal Zone: 97405 (541)343-5066

May 1st, 1996

SPECIAL REPORT Schweitzer & the Freemen in Montana

The mass media propaganda mill is busy, working overtime to discredit and vilify good people actively restoring constitutional government and lawful process in these united states of America. With Schweitzer and Peterson "arrested" and incarcerated in Montana and the "compound" surrounded by FBI agents, the attorney-police-prison state machinery of de facto corporate government is now committed to a "non-violent" strategy since the tragic Waco and Ruby Ridge incidents. These were public relations fiascos that backfired on the government with more people either joining a militia or waking up to the political realities in the last year than ever before.

This tide of discontent will continue to rise in America until lawful process is restored and the people have regained control over their destinies. This mass media propaganda effort around Schweitzer and the Freemen in Montana will also fail, as more and more Americans no longer believe what is reported as the "news."

Now the media spins the FBI as the heroes of the people, praised for saving a few ranchers from these horrible "freemen" who dare to live by the Constitution and the Common law. This is a public relations turnaround and flip-side of the overt military-type actions that is no longer tolerated by the

(continued on p.38)

CONTENTS

Editorial	p.2
News From The Frontline	
Renegade Common Law Court	p.3
Testimonies from Common Law.....	p.7
Jural Societies & Townships.....	p.4
Reward Offered to First Fed Bank..	p.9
Shawnees: Peace or War.....	p.12
Middle Class Wiped Out.....	p.17
Todd, Schroder & Spence Mediate..	p.20
States Must Repeal 17th Amend.....	p.21
Feature Articles	p.24
Sovereignty in Hawai'i Today.....	p.1
Strategic Plan for the Hawai'i(an)....	p.33
Aloha of the Hawai'i(an) People.....	p.37
Special Reports	p.38
Schweitzer & the Freemen in	
Montana.....	p.38
Broderick Raided By the FBI.....	p.39
Common Law & Commercial	
Lien Process.....	p.42
Reflections on the Freemen.....	p.43
Network Reports	p.45
Reviews & Letters	p.50

(continued on p.2)




Beyond the Cascadian Resource Center

The success of our books, publications, research packages and seminars around sovereignty issues and concerns has made it possible to venture into new areas. We are working with a highly competent trust team (e.g., **Wise Holdings Trusts**) who will stand by their trusts and assist you in getting one written for your needs and purposes.

(continued on p.45)

SPRING 1996



SOVEREIGNTY IN HAWAI'I TODAY!

by *Johnny Liberty*

Sovereignty is alive and well in the hearts and minds of the Hawai'i(an) people. Here lives a sovereign people—their lands and country taken, their language and culture usurped by foreign invaders. Yet, they are still willing to share "alo'ha" with the foreigners who occupy this nation. The Hawai'i(ans) are a peaceful, loving, land and sea-based people who have accepted parts of the modern world and integrated it into their traditions and culture. The winds of change have arrived on these islands once again and a window of opportunity has opened for reclaiming sovereignty for the Hawai'i(an) people.

Today in the streets people will solemnly speak the word "sovereignty," as if it was the liberty bell tolling for the Hawai'i(an) people. Although they may not understand its full context and depth, sovereignty is better understood here than in most of North America. Sovereignty is at the heart and soul of every human being, where the spirit of freedom begins and ends.

Every child born in this world is a natural born sovereign individual with the innate will to be free. That will to freedom and transformation is actively suppressed by the power structures, and we are programmed by the media and our educational systems to give up that freedom and our sovereign rights in exchange for the illusion of security.

(Continued on p.24)

TABLE OF CONTENTS



John David, Van Hove,
Managing Editor

EDITORIAL

Another issue of the **North American News Service** is put to bed. This issue is focused on Hawai'i(an) sovereignty and it's importance for Americans and indigenous peoples everywhere in the free world. I am reflecting in the early morning on the highest purpose for this work—to free the hearts and minds of the people, to restore checks and balances by limiting government authority, and to pray with all my heart the people will take responsibility for their actions and learn the necessary tools for self-government.

Guaranteed, if men, women, or nations don't learn to govern themselves, they will be governed by others. An inherent sovereign is born, while a practicing sovereign is cultivated and learned. The sovereign people are the Common law court, the government, the bank and the state. It is this we must learn and practice to self-govern ourselves and reform great nations such as the **united states of America** and the **Kingdom of Hawai'i**.

Each week there are new opportunities for liberation. The tools are being perfected daily for restoring sovereignty to We the People, regardless of race, class, creed or color. Yet too few people are ready or willing to exercise their rights and sovereignty, even after they know how. You can teach a man/woman to fish
(continued on p.49)

News From the Frontline

AMERICAN LAW

- Renegade Common Law Court p.3
- Damages for Fraud..... p.3
- Federal Jurisdiction Limited..... p.4
- Gold-Fringed Flag Returned..... p.4
- Jural Societies & Townships..... p.4
- Patriot Attorney Dismisses U.C.C.. p.5
- Right Way L.A.W. Suggests..... p.5
- Treaty Will Turn Over Transport.... p.6

COMMON LAW COURTS

- Re-establish Committees of Safety. p.6
- Common Law Court Indicts..... p.7
- Testimonies from the Common..... p.7
- People's Courts, "Checks" & Liens. p.8

FEDERAL RESERVE

- Reward Offered to First Fed Bank.. p.9

HAWAI'I(AN) SOVEREIGNTY

- Bureau of Records Established..... p.9
- Sovereign Hawai'i(ans) Handbook.. p.9
- Police Actions Against Hawai'i(an).p.9
- American Indians Offer Casino.....p.10

HEMP

- Farm Bureaus Opts for Research.... p.10
- Hawai'i(an) Hemp Activists Sue..... p.10
- U.S. Supreme Court/Jeopardy..... p.11

HISTORY

- Eisenhower Starved Germans..... p.11
- Architects of International System.. p.11
- Wrong Economic Dialectic..... p.12

INDIGENOUS PEOPLE

- Shawnees: Peace or War..... p.12
- An Ancient & Sovereign People..... p.13

INTERNATIONAL

- Full Scale Civil War Brewing..... p.13
- Internationalists Destablizing..... p.14
- NAFTA Enslaves..... p.14
- Legislation Proposed/NAFTA..... p.14
- Top Contender for UN..... p.14
- Taxing the Global Neighborhood.... p.15
- UN Global Governance Planned..... p.15

MILITIAS

- Michael New Court-marshalled..... p.16
- Kitchen Militia Mobilizes..... p.16
- Posse Comitatus..... p.16
- Militia Man Arrested..... p.16

MONEY

- Salinas Steals Billions..... p.17
- Mexican Bailout Never Reached.... p.17
- Middle Class Wiped Out..... p.17

POLICE STATE

- Encourages Asset Forfeitures..... p.18
- Court Estoppels Bank Foreclosure.. p.18
- Doctor SWATed..... p.19

- Judge Licking Honey..... p.19
- Schweitzer & Peterson Arrested..... p.20
- Todd, Schroder & Spence Mediate. p.20
- FINCEN Follows the Money.....p.21

STATES RIGHTS

- States Must Repeal 17th Amend..... p.21

TAXES & IRS

- County Assessor Locks Horns..... p.22
- IRS Considers Taxpayers..... p.22
- What is the IRS.....p.22

Feature Articles

- Sovereignty in Hawai'i Today..... p.1
- Law of Nations & Jus Cogens..... p.24
- Defacto State of Hawaii..... p.25
- Office of Hawaiian Affairs..... p.26
- Restoring the Kingdom of Hawai'i.. p.27
- Interim Provisional Government..... p.28
- Hawai'i(an) Sovereign Movement.. p.29
- Crimes Against the Hawai'i(an).....p.30
- Excerpts From Francis A. Boyle..... p.31
- Inherent Hawai'i(an) Sovereignty.... p.32
- Strategic Plan for theHawai'i(an).... p.33
- Sustainable Economic System..... p.34
- Embassies & Diplomacy..... p.35
- Strategic Alliance/American..... p.36
- Indigenous Sovereigns.....p.37
- Aloha of the Hawai'i(an) People.....p.37

Special Reports

- Schweitzer & the Freeman in
Montana..... p.38
- Oklahoma City Bombing Update.... p.39
- Broderick Raided By the FBI..... p.39
- Moore Arrested For Perfecting
Lien in Oklahoma..... p.41
- People Using Liens Dubbed
Paper Terrorists..... p.41
- Common Law & Commercial
Lien Process..... p.42
- Broderick Workshops, Liens..... p.42
- Reflections on the Freeman..... p.43

Network Reports

- COMMUNICATIONS..... p.1
- EDUCATION.....p.45
- ENVIRONMENT.....p.45
- GOVERNMENT..... p.46
- HEALTH & NUTRITION..... p.47
- POLITICAL & SOCIAL ACTION.p.47
- SPIRITUAL.....p.48

Reviews & Letters

.....

News From the Frontline

AMERICAN LAW AMERICAN LAW

Renegade American Common Law Court in Hawai'i Attacks Liberty

A faction of the sovereignty movement in Hawai'i verbally attacked **Johnny Liberty** and **KaLeo Lindsey** at a seminar in Waikiki last weekend. Loud criticism was voiced by a faction that claims to be both the **American Common Law Court** and the **Kingdom of Hawai'i**.

NANS has a videotape of their vocal outrage and insults at the Waikiki Elementary School cafeteria. It must be embarrassing for them to have behaved so badly. This is to be expected from people who do not have the integrity or vision to recognize that the sovereignty movement must be unified, otherwise the power structure will keep the people divided, thus conquered. A man will be judged by his deeds and his ability to stand upon his/her character. I am confident, the truth shall prevail.

Dennis Ragsdale, Daniel Sibonga, Henry Noa and the **American Common Law Court** have been "quieting the titles" of Americans and Hawai'i(ans) in the wrong venue and jurisdiction for over six months, as there is no **Country of Hawai'i, Hawai'i state or Territory of Hawai'i**. They do not exist at law. This has been generating a lot of confusion and is a great disservice to the people who have already quieted their titles in this venue, as there is no united states of America in Hawai'i. Yet We the Sheeple follow our leaders blindly without questioning or confirming for ourselves what is right and true. You cannot be an American and speak for the **Kingdom of Hawai'i**. You cannot be a sovereign Hawai'i(an) and speak for the American Common law. Of this, there can be no disagreement.

Two sovereign nations cannot occupy the

same space and time. Since Public Law 103-150 (Nov. 23rd, 1993), the STATE OF HAWAII and its de facto governments no longer exist at law. Hawai'i is not part of America, but an independent nation, the **Kingdom of Hawai'i**, whose provisional government is being restored. We are setting up an embassy recognized by the **Kingdom of Hawai'i** with the proper **American Common Law Court** as a service to Americans in Hawai'i who wish to reclaim their sovereignty in the 48 sovereign states. Those who have quieted their titles in the **Country of Hawai'i** will have to make corrections to their process and complete it in the proper venue and jurisdiction. [SOURCE: NANS Correspondent]

.....

Damages For Fraud Set By U.S. Supreme Court

In all cases of fraud, consider the applicable policy by the U.S. Supreme Court from March, 1991. The U.S. Supreme Court sets policy for disputes which take place in the United States. This specific case (**Pacific Mutual Insurance Company vs. Cleopatra Haslip**) establishes that punitive damages are not a violation of due process guarantees but are and have been used to "punish the defendant" and "for the added purpose of protecting the public by (detering) the defendant and others from doing such wrong in the future."

The U.S. Supreme Court validated the "Hammond" test for punitive damage amounts. (**Hammond vs. City of Gadsden, 493 So.2d1374, 1986**), it stated that trial courts are to review "(a) the culpability of the defendant's conduct, (b) the desirability of discouraging others from similar conduct, (c) impact upon the parties, (d) other factors, such as the impact on innocent third parties." (See p. 10, ¶1 regarding refinements to Hammond test.)

Page 11, ¶1 said "**We are aware that the punitive damages award in this case is more than 4 times the compensatory damages, is more than 200 times the out-of-pocket expenses of respondent Haslip...**"

NEWS FROM THE FRONTLINE

This set punitive damages at 200 times your actual damages (i.e. the amount of the Note, plus the amount of any interest and fees, etc. ever paid in connection with the note) that is "the winning argument." You must, however, show it also happened to three (3) other people in addition to you (i.e. Calhoun, Craig, Hargrove) and you fall under the "Haslip" rule = yourself + 3 others = 200 times damages or in shorthand math, 1+3=200.

The penalty, at common law, for "bank" fraud is forfeiture of the note as the opening bid in any settlement, then come damages, (compensatory and punitive). [SOURCE: Whitney Olsen for Nick Repac, Thomas Schauf; The Winning Argument]

.....

Federal Jurisdiction Limited By Supreme Court Ruling

Alphonso Lopez was convicted in Texas for carrying a gun to school in March, 1992. Prior to the ABA's monopoly over the courts and the rise of federal power, defendants charged in criminal cases pursuant to federal law alleged to have occurred with the state were dismissed upon motion or appeal. Chief Justice John Marshall made clear the the Constitution prohibited the federal government from assuming any police power within a state.

On April 26, 1995, Chief Justice Rehnquist writes the overall opinion of the Court's findings: "The Act [a federal criminal statute intended to be enforced within a state] exceeds Congress' Commerce clause authority. To uphold the government's contention [that it can bring criminal charges for a crime alleged to have been committed within a State] would require this Court to pile inference upon inference in a manner that would bid fair to convert congressional Commerce authority to a general police power of the sort held only by the States."—Supreme Court Reporter, 55 CCH S.Ct., Bull p.

This could be the precedent for the release of thousands of federal prisoners convicted under federal law in criminal matters because they were unconstitutionally convicted. See also New York vs. Muhl, 36 U.S. (11 Pet.) 102

(1837) and People vs. Godfrey, 17 Johns. 225 (N.Y., 1819) [SOURCE: ???]

.....

Gold-Fringed Flag Returned to Court

Last September, County Commissioners in Ferry County, Washington removed a gold-fringed flag from the courtroom because Commissioner Jim Hall said he was shown government documents proving that fringed flags are inappropriate. Commissioner Hall, who assured everyone that he doesn't subscribe to constitutionalist views, said the flag was removed to appease "anti-government constitutionalists," according to an article in Spokane's *Spokesman Review*.

After several months of fruitless negotiation, presiding Superior Court Judge, Larry Kristianson, threatened legal action against Hall, saying he could order the flag replaced and have Hall jailed if he got in the way. To avoid a confrontation that could have been "politically explosive" it was agreed that the judge would buy a new fringed replacement flag with his own money if the commissioners would promise to leave it alone. "No person is authorized to come into the court and take accouterments of the court without the court's permission," he said. [SOURCE: American's Bulletin, March '96]

.....

Jural Societies and Townships Springing Up Around the Country

There are more than twenty self-governing "jural societies" in various stages of formation around the nation. A jural society is defined as a group of men and women gathered together for a specific purpose; that is, self government by contract, charter and by-laws.

"These societies sometimes referred to as 'townships' are about communities of sovereign people exercising options of self-determination and peaceful co-existence with those outside the scope of their chosen law."

There are two requirements for membership that are fundamental: "One, potential members must be free of legal obligation to any other

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

governing structure, and two, members must be able (from a geographic perspective) to participate and contribute to the process."

John Quade and Randy Lee of the **American Jural Society** has been setting them up in numerous locations around the usa and also teaching people how to use the non-statutory abatement process. [SOURCE: Melanie Miller, *Sui Juris, Ingenuitias juris et de jure, The House of Common Law*; Reviewed by Esther Holmes].

.....

Patriot Attorney Dismisses the UCC

There are a few "patriot" attorneys that I have the utmost of respect for, others who are opportunists seeing a market in the screwups patriots find themselves in when they misapply or misunderstand various "silver bullet" approaches to law and the courts.

I resent the attitude of attorneys and many lawyers that they know the "law." It has been my experience that very few know anything about the "law" as purported, but practice "lies" and deceit instead. I would be surprised, literally shocked if there were 100 attorneys in the entire country who really know the "law of the land."

The argument over the U.C.C. is a case in point. From **Mark Osterman, Attorney's** point of view, the U.C.C. is the single best piece of legislation passed in a hundred years. The U.C.C. was not designed to handle traffic issues and putting "Without prejudice, all rights reserved U.C.C. 1-207" accomplishes absolutely nothing from a legal standpoint.

The U.C.C. only applies to the sale of goods, bank drafts, checks and negotiable instruments. A ticket for no driver's license does not apply to any of these transactions. Regarding rejecting a bench warrant under U.C.C. 3-501, Section 3 deals with "commercial paper." A bench warrant is not commercial paper. Judges have also advised us that the U.C.C. has no bearing in traffic cases.

Mark also suggests that the U.C.C. deals with FRN's in your pocket and the checking accounts we use. Using the U.C.C. in traffic court may result in your visit to the local psychiatric ward with an order from the court, because its application makes no sense in traffic, criminal or domestic-relation law.

If your intent is to protest, make the protest known and seek protection under the First Amendment, not the U.C.C.

Commentary: True that patriots often misapply the U.C.C., but to say it never works just isn't true. Does it work all the time? Certainly not! Are there people in jail who used the U.C.C.? Certainly. In a bankrupt federal United States, in courts under emergency powers, all paperwork is flawed and every transaction is commercial in nature. The traffic citation is an invoice presented for payment. An invoice or "true bill" is commercial paper.

Whether the U.C.C. applies to traffic cases may not be the ultimate issue at hand. Considering that every encounter with the police and judges is a crapshoot, and there is no consistency or uniformity in the justice system, I conclude that we must craft any and every remedy possible to restore our rights in a constitutional Republic.

Attorneys and lawyers are equally unreliable in criminal defense cases and you have to pay an exorbitant fee when found guilty anyway. 70% of folks in Texas can't afford to hire a lawyer. We already know from experience that the Constitution for the united states of America—the single best piece of legislation in the history of the world—is routinely ignored, denied and even ridiculed by our courts and lawyers. So what's it gonna be? Attorneys, judges and the U.C.C. or Citizens knowledgeable about the law and the Constitution. [SOURCE: Media Bypass, January 1996, p.16; Commentary summarized from Alfred Adask in response to Mark Osterman, Attorney]

.....

Right Way L.A.W. Suggests: Quit Contracting for Traffic Tickets

You probably never thought of traffic tickets in terms of contracts to purchase certain goods and services. But according to **Right Way L.A.W.** reported in **AntiShyster**, they are part of a commercial contract. If you don't agree with the contract, it is absolutely essential to object to traffic citations in a timely fashion (within 10 days) using a "Refusal for Cause."

When a law enforcement officer writes a


NEWS FROM THE FRONTLINE

ticket (s)he is actually issuing a commercial instrument called a "citation," and the recipient of said "ticket" automatically becomes party to a commercial contract. The commercial instrument is actually a "confirmatory writing," an instrument defined in UCC 2-201 that defines a "product being purchased," which in this case is, fines and court costs. **Right Way L.A.W.** explains that anyone using **International Monetary Fund (IMF)** debt credit (Federal Reserve Notes) as a medium of exchange, is subject to the Uniform Commercial Code.

UCC 2-201 is called the "Statute of Frauds." It deals with the legality of contracts and says contracts for the sale of goods for \$500 or more are not enforceable unless there is some "writing" indicating that a contract for goods has been signed between the parties. UCC 2-201, Subparend (2) says that if one of the parties objects to the terms of the confirmatory writing, their objection must be registered within 10 days after receipt or the contract stands. Don't wait for your court date to register an objection. It'll be too late under the UCC.

At this point, you may think that refusal to sign the citation would prevent entering into a contract. Not so! If you sign the citation, the action falls under UCC 2-201. If you don't sign, it still falls under UCC 2-201 because the 10 day period to object to the "writing" automatically goes into effect, according to commercial law. It is a maxim of law that law applies in spite of ignorance of it. Therefore, it is presumed that everyone who fails to object during the 10 day period agrees to all the terms of the contract. You're guilty by default.

Commentary: It's important to respond to every citation, notice to appear or other paper action of the government, so as to not support their presumptions and agree to the terms of their contracts by acquiescence and neglect. [SOURCE: AntiShyster, Vol 5, No.4; Reviewed by Esther Holmes]



Treaty Will Turn Over All Transportation to Great Britain in 1997

In 1898 all of the Railroad Acts were culminated into one final deed, couched in the form of a treaty, granting a stranglehold on our transportation system to a foreign power through the aegis of British shipping lines and companies.

This fraudulent Railroad "treaty" is set to expire in 1997 under the law of perpetuity which will turn over all roads, airports, shipping ports and railroads to a foreign power known as Great Britain. [SOURCE: Fax received from Ron Jackson's New Republic, December 4, 1995]

.....



Re-establish Committees of Safety

In this country there is currently a confusion of representation. Constitutional provisions designed to order genuine representation of the people have been virtually lost and a revival of colonial-type Committees of Safety might help correct the problem.

The concept is proposed in a pamphlet by **Gary Hunt of Outpost of Freedom**. Committees of Safety existed from the 1600s and were originally granted by the British crown. When the monarchy became intolerably repressive, the Committees of Safety took on a life of their own and became a sort of parallel government of the people that eventually led to the Declaration of Independence.

Today, millions of patriots are acting independently, or in conjunction with a plethora of splintered groups. The effort to take back the nation is fragmented and ineffectual because, we the people who are hanging everything on the firm belief in a truly representational government, are not taking our own medicine.

In other words, the patriot movement of today could learn a great deal from the organization that existed in pre-revolution-

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

ary times. Mr. Hunt advocates modern-day **Committees of Safety** on several grounds: They are set up in a truly representational manner, with small organized groups sending delegates to larger county groups, and so on; they enhance effective communication across large areas; they mobilize efforts to impact elected representatives and guard against the patriot community's infiltration by government agents. His pamphlet proposes a model structure for the Committee of Safety and provides model bylaws. The author requests feedback saying, **"I submit that if there are any valid objections to what is laid out above, that they be brought forward publicly to consider."** [SOURCE: Committees of Safety, Gary Hunt, Outpost of Freedom, c/o PO Box 2234, Casselberry, Florida, PZ: 32707. (407) 830-9943, FAX (407) 830-9043; Reviewed by Esther Holmes].

.....

Common Law Court Indicts County Officials

The mysterious deaths of two black inmates at the Butte County Jail in Oroville, California last year resulted in the spontaneous convening of a Common Law Grand Jury in the lobby of the California Superior Court when Judge Ann Rutherford refused to hear a citizens complaint brought against Butte County officials.

Police brutality at the Butte County Jail had been alleged for years. It finally came to a head last year in several developments. Reports surfaced in the media and were subsequently denied by Butte County Sheriff, Mick Grey; two Sheriff Jailors publicly confirmed the allegations, then two healthy inmates in their twenties, Sareena Mills and Brady-Dayton Cumbuss were found dead within three weeks of each other.

Robert Cumbuss, father of the second victim, contacted the **Sovereign Patriot Group (SPG)** of Chico, California because he wanted help in conducting a "citizens arrest" against **Sheriff Jailer Frank Aul**, who was last seen with Brady-Dayton Cumbuss. **Sheriff Mick Grey** refused to make the arrest but finally agreed to it if SPG could produce a warrant from a Judge.

So, on January 8, 1996, a group of about 160 Citizens with media back-up assembled at

the court of Superior Court **Judge Anne Rutherford** in order to place a Verified Complaint against Sheriff Grey, Jailer Aul, the Sacramento Coroner's office and Jail Commander, Dan Young. But the Judge, apparently shaken by the media attention, told Mr. Cumbuss to leave her court and ended up fleeing herself after asking the Bailiffs to remove the jury.

The Citizens group was determined not to leave the court without receiving justice and asked for any Judge to hear the case, but none would. At that point, **Robert L. Cheney, Jr.**, Executive Director of SPG said, "Okay people, we are now at law and invoking real law here today. We are going to hold our own court to hear the charges in this complaint and to come to a determination from the facts." A "Common Law Grand Jury" was selected on the spot by drawing straws. Then, a young black gentleman, **Marcel Mills** of Sacramento, was selected and sworn in as magistrate. A thorough investigation of the evidence resulted in a Criminal indictment that was presented to the Federal Marshall as well as to Governor Pete Wilson's office in Sacramento.

Criminal indictments against **Judge Anne Rutherford** have recently been completed. Also, a Tort Claims action will be filed in order to compel the judge to stand in front of the Butte County Board of Supervisors and openly explain why she didn't uphold her oath of office or obey her own law. [SOURCE: ???].

.....

Testimonies from the Common Law Court

A family came to pick me up the morning of the 27th, and we traveled to Franklin, North Carolina, in Macon county, which is just north of Georgia. We arrived there shortly after ten and found that the court was being held not in the courthouse, but in a nearby church.

The court is set up as our one supreme Court, common-law venue, original and exclusive jurisdiction, in and for all states united in America. The court sessions occur every month, on the last Saturday.

At the front of the room were prominently displayed two flags and a law. The flag of the USA, with no fringe, was draped from a flagpole stand, while the flag of North Carolina hung vertically from something

NEWS FROM THE FRONTLINE

resembling a portable chalkboard. The law is North Carolina General Statute 4-1, which states:

"All such parts of the common law as were heretofore in force and use within this State, or so much of the common law as is not destructive of, or repugnant to, or inconsistent with, the freedom and independence of this State and the form of government therein established, and which has not been otherwise provided for in whole or in part, not abrogated, repealed, or become obsolete, are hereby declared to be in full force within this State."

The justice, who was standing in the middle of the jury, was explaining the rules of the court and the common law and making various remarks during the morning. Here are some of his remarks:

"You are the principal; they are the agents. Act like it! This is a court of record. [There was a VCR recording the proceedings.] This court is always open, seven days a week. You are each a 'state' in fact."

During the first break, I talked with some people and showed them the North Carolina Constitution I had brought, with the amendments which I found in the Archives. The whole thing is on the World Wide Web. Someone suggested that I enter it into evidence, so I did.

After lunch, we started hearing cases. First, he swore in people who weren't already sworn in (many people, including the family I rode with, missed the first swearing in). This is simply an oath that the evidence we bring is true; there are longer oaths for the marshals and the justice pro tempore (who stood in when the chief justice himself had a case).

Several of these were "quiet title" cases, which nullify the presumption that the government owns you (as evidenced by the birth certificate, which is the title to your body). These take three phases. First, you stand before the jury and persuade them that you have the character to own and manage yourself. Then you send notice to anyone who might be an opposing party, such as the State which has your birth certificate, and give them grace, a sufficient time to respond. Then you stand before the jury again, and present the evidence that you gave notice and the opposing party didn't answer, and your quiet title is finalized.

A woman went up and said, "I would like to

claim quiet title..." but we could barely hear her. The justice fiddled around with the mike and asked her to speak up. She tried again, "I would like to claim LOUD title..."

Another woman claimed quiet title to herself and her three children, who were kidnapped last March by the State of South Carolina and being held by the Department of Social Services, apparently for not having Social Security numbers. This reminded me of, and I told her about, the Saephan case in San Francisco in early 1994. One of the Saephan children arrived at school one day with a bruise. The teacher called DSS, and all the children were taken away, and one died.

The next case was a man who sued the State of Florida to recover his birth certificate. He made the point that the term "delivery," used of a baby, is a commercial term, implying that the baby is a product in commerce. The jury awarded him \$54.6 million dollars, to be paid in lawful money.

Another man told of being stopped by bandits who refused to identify themselves at an unlawful roadblock. They were surmised to be MJTF people. They were driving county, state, and other vehicles.

Despite a few tearjerker cases, the atmosphere was excited. One man shouted "Free at last!" as his quiet title was finalized. I felt it was a momentous and historic meeting. [SOURCE: Eye witness account downloaded from INTERNET-Pierre Abbat, Contributing Editor]

.....

People's Courts, "Checks" and Liens Surface in Oregon

Checks issued by LeRoy Schweitzer have appeared in Oregon, according to Oregon State Attorney General Spokeswoman Maria Rae. She said, "bogus checks," as well as common law courts and "false affidavits" have been documented in Multnomah, Marion, Klamath, Jefferson, Wasco, Lane, Columbia and Malheur counties; and that declarations referring to a common law "supreme court" have been published in small newspapers around Oregon. [SOURCE: Associated Press]

.....

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

FEDERAL RESERVE

Reward Offered to First Federal Reserve Banker Who Will Tell the Truth

Tom Schauf, CPA is offering a \$1000 reward to the first member of the Federal Reserve Bank who is a bank president, controller or CPA bank auditor who will specifically answer all of his questions about money, banking and bank loans. Furthermore, the potential participant must agree to testify under oath, under penalty of perjury, on tape. Mr. Schauf will also give \$500 to the first person who successfully locates such a person.

Mr. Schauf says one of his students, a CPA bank auditor, showed him paperwork that proved all banks use double book keeping entries to mask the fact that they are acting fraudulently. Bankers don't lend money in exchange for promissory notes. Bank manuals call the transactions loans but they're not actually loaning either the money of other depositors or legal tender. In essence, they are loaning debt and using personal property of the debtor as collateral. [SOURCE: American's Bulletin, April 1996]

.....

HAWAIIAN SOVEREIGNTY

Bureau of Records Established for the Kingdom of Hawai'i

Inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereigns or American Nationals in Hawai'i can now file their legal papers and public records with the Bureau of Records for the Kingdom of Hawai'i.

They will accept filings for affidavits, quiet titles, liens, trusts, and court papers and other documents that are a matter of

public record. [SOURCE: NANS Correspondent, Knipes Court Reporter's]

.....


Sovereign Hawai'i(ans) Handbook Published

On March 1st, 1996, the *Sovereign Hawai'i(ans) Handbook* went to press, to be released in the Hawai'i(an) islands as part of an educational process on five islands from March 13th-29th, 1996. Published by the **Cascadian Resource Center** and authored by **Johnny Liberty**, this book is an anthology of many sources of information regarding sovereignty, Citizenship and the lawful process for restoring a provisional government, Common law courts and reinhabiting the constitutional Republic for the **Kingdom of Hawai'i**. [SOURCE: Cascadian Resource Center]

.....

Police Actions Against the Hawai'i(an) People

Recent aggressive police actions against the Makua Council has reached a new level of absurdity and is arousing negative public opinion against the use of police power



NEWS FROM THE FRONTLINE

against the "homeless" at Makua Beach and on other beaches on Maui and the Big Island of Hawai'i. They are homeless because they are dispossessed of their lands, and the Hawai'i(an) people do not yet understand their sovereignty.

The State of Hawaii is enforcing its statutes against camping on the beach, evicting residents who have no place else to live. The Hawai'i(an) people continue to be dispossessed of their lands, despite Public Law 103-150 and Supreme Court decisions giving the Hawai'i(an) people access to all lands in Hawai'i, public or private. The police power of the State and the propaganda machine of the New World Order is busy dissuading the Hawai'i(an) people from declaring their sovereignty and restoring their independent nation status. The law is on the side of the people who must learn, like all the peoples of the world, to self-govern themselves. [SOURCE: KaLeo Lindsey, Advocate General for the O'Ha Provisional Government Council, Kingdom of Hawai'i; Reviewed by John David, Van Hove, Managing Editor]

.....

American Indians Offer Casino Capital to Hawai'ians

An unidentified American Indian group has offered Native Hawai'ians \$100 million to develop a casino. The Native Hawai'ian group, **Na'io Hawaii** is considering the idea as a way of funding housing, business, health clinics, job training and educational scholarships. They estimate the casino would bring in more than \$400 million a year in profits and create as many as 10,000 new jobs. Na'io Hawaii representative Kookie Cornelius says she has been approached by at least three Indian tribes offering to back Native Hawai'ian gambling enterprises. [SOURCE: Pacific Business News]

.....



Farm Bureau Opts for Research on Hemp


The American Farm Bureau Federation (AFBF) unanimously adopted a resolution in January calling for research on the viability and economic potential of the resumption of industrial hemp production in the United States. The resolution was introduced by **Andrew Graves**, incoming president of the Fayette County Chapter of the **Kentucky Farm Bureau** and vice-president of the **Kentucky Hemp Growers Cooperation** association. In a separate resolution the AFBF reaffirmed its support of government efforts to eradicate illegal drugs including marijuana. [SOURCE: Perceptions, March/April 1996]

.....

Hawai'ian Hemp Activists Suing Prosecutors

Hawai'ian hemp activists **Robert Christie** and **Aaron Anderson** are suing Hawaii prosecutors **Jay Kimura** and **Kay Iopa** for \$3 million in civil court. The plaintiffs were busted for possessing hemp seeds they say were sterile. They are complaining that they are being unfairly singled out by the government because of their outspoken views about marijuana. The suit alleges the prosecutors violated constitutional rights to "freely speak, petition the government and be free from unjust government repression." The suit further accuses Kay Iopa of lying about results of tests checking the viability of the hempseeds and of singling out the plaintiffs when similar seeds are commonly sold in stores around Hawai'i. [SOURCE: IBID]

.....



U.S. Supreme Court Considers Double Jeopardy in Civil Forfeitures

Civil forfeitures constitute punishment for the purpose of double jeopardy, according to the Court of Appeals for the 9th Circuit. The Supreme Court has agreed to review the case of **United States v. \$405,089.23 in U.S. Currency**. If the decision of the lower court stands, the convictions of thousands of persons will have to be vacated. [SOURCE: IBID]

.....

HISTORY

Eisenhower Starved Germans in Post-War Death Camps

Four months after WWII ended, German soldiers and civilians were dying in concentration camps set up by **General Dwight D. Eisenhower**. With the support of his post-war foreign policy cabal, made up of **Treasury Secretary Henry Morgenthau, Senator Herbert Lehman and Supreme Court Justice Felix Frankfurter**, Eisenhower was able to act out his consuming hatred for the German people.

A document in the National Archives says 692,895 Germans were classified as POWs and 363,587 as DEFs (Disarmed Enemy Forces—an illegal classification according to international law and the Geneva Convention. DEFs had no right to food, shelter or water and thousands of the POWs had their status changed to DEF as the months after the war dragged on. **Heinz Janssen**, a survivor of the Rheinberg camp describes the conditions: "**Amputees slithered like amphibians through the mud, soaking and freezing.**" Another witness, French Army **Captain Julien** wrote, "**This is just like the photographs of Buchenwald and Dachau.**" His unit had discovered 32,000 living American skeletons huddled under scraps of wet carboard.

Author and researcher **James Bacque** labored for years to publicize this hushed up story.

Information was difficult to document because most records of the Rhineland death camps had been destroyed between 1947 and 1950. Also, The **International Committee of the Red Cross** refused to open its archives to him. His book **Other Losses**, was finally published in 1989 after being turned down by 30 American publishers.

Commentary: Here's another example of the victors in war writing the history from their perspective and denying the story of their captives, prisoners and victims. When will the human race stop killing and torturing each other? This is indicative of the other side of history. There are always the ones whose stories are never told. [SOURCE: Citizen's Perspective, October 24, 1995; Reviewed by Esther Holmes]

.....

The Architecture of a New International System

In 1943, **Franklin Delano Roosevelt, Winston Churchill and Joseph Stalin** spent four days in Tehran discussing how to defeat Hitler, how to redraw the map of Europe, and how to eventually establish a new world order. **Felix Witmer**, author of **The Yalta Betrayal**, says their discussion focused on the dismemberment of Germany and the creation of "people's democracies" along the Soviet borders, as well details such as unilateral support for Yugoslavia's General Tito and various land giveaways to the Soviets.

Historian **Arthur M. Schlesinger** says Roosevelt wanted to head off America's instinct to revert to isolationism after the war by establishing an international organization that could maintain peace through force. The United States, Britain, China and Soviet Union would be the "Four Policemen" as he called them, that would accomplish this goal.

Stalin proposed the idea of regional peacekeeping; an idea which is reflected in Chapter 8, Articles 52 and 53 of the Charter of the United Nations, which authorizes the Security Council to utilize regional arrangements to keep peace when necessary.

Several globalist writers of the 1940s advocated the formation of regional economic entities as a more feasible method of bringing about a better world order. The U.S. got its

NEWS FROM THE FRONTLINE

start in regionalism during the Nixon presidency, when he divided the country into ten federal regions with a Federal Regional Council, or Capitol for each one. The next major step was NAFTA which Henry Kissinger said was "not a conventional trade agreement but the architecture of a new international system."

As **P.E. Corbett** wrote in *Post-War Worlds* in 1942, "...World Government is the ultimate aim, but there is more chance of attaining it by gradual development." Similarly, **M.J. Bonn** wrote in *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* in 1941, "...But we are not yet going to have a world state...The formation of regional federations by hitherto autonomous groups is much easier...with every move a step toward a new world order is taken." [SOURCE: Committee to Restore the Constitution]

.....

Wrong Economic Dialectic

Since the Bolshevik Revolution, a phony dialectic has dominated the minds of economists; that of Kapitalism versus Kommunism. Nowadays we are still reeling under the presumptions of the remnants of that false dialectic as the two orthodox schools of economics—the Keynesian and Monetarist dominate world finances. Keynesianism dictates the functions of the World Bank/IMF while Monetarism rules at the Bank of International Settlements, the international central bank of the Group of Ten.

Progressives have missed the point, according to **Mark Evans**, writing in *Flatland Magazine*. The issue that should have been getting attention all along is "the struggle between the sovereign right of the people, collectively, to create and control their own credit, and the paid political hirelings who have always served the vested interests of the banks and plutocracy..."

Article 1, Section 8, Clause 5 of the Constitution for the United States says, "Congress shall have the power to coin money, and to regulate the value thereof, and also, of foreign coin," Mr. Evans says this vital clause was never fully put into effect and Thomas Jefferson also had some regrets about the matter. He wrote that his great regret about the Constitution was that it did not include a clause stating specifically that

Congress had the power specifically to monetize paper. It was an advantage the colonists enjoyed for a time and Benjamin Franklin wrote that the Revolution was fought because the Crown suspended the rights of the colonies to print colonial scrip.

Mr. Evans says that the much maligned and misunderstood Congressman **Charles Augustus Lindbergh, Sr.**, (1859–1924) who based his radical economic theory on the Constitution had broken away from the standard economic rhetoric shaping the world in the early 1900s. He believes Congressman Lindbergh was probably approaching a "simultaneously democratic and constitutional" solution. This is evidenced by the campaign to suppress his work, according to Evans.

Charles Lindbergh wrote, "The greatest of all the present social burdens is the excessive interest, dividends and rent charges levied on us by those who control centralized capital...the fruits resulting from the people's toil...accumulated by the wealth absorbers who, by the rules of government, possess the privilege of taxing all the people." [SOURCE: Flatland Magazine]

.....

INDIGENOUS PEOPLE

Shawnees Want to Know: Will it be Peace or War with the Federal Government?

On January 23, 1990, the **Shawnee Reserve** was violated by an armed force which invaded the reservation in order to steal Shawnee Indian property and impose civil jurisdiction upon Shawnee Indian Country and the Indians thereon, according to **Chief Jimmie D. Oyler**.

A recent tax levied against the Chief has prompted him to file "Motions with Demand and Warning" to **Governor Bill Graves**, the Secretary of Revenue and the Board of Tax Appeals for the return of all Indian property and/or monetary compensation for property, taken during the January 23, 1990 action. He is suing for an excess of \$1,000,000.

Chief Jimmie D. Oyler and other unnamed

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

tribal members sent a legal warning to the "State of Kansas" that anything short of "total compliance with the Constitution of the United States, United States Treaties with the Shawnee and others" shall result in total war.

Commentary: There is a growing impatience on the part of the indigenous people of North America to have their land and treaties respected by the federal, State and local governments. It is essential that the American sovereign Citizen recognize and support the sovereignty of indigenous peoples and their inherent right to self-determination. [SOURCE: INTERNET Correspondent]

.....

An Ancient and Sovereign People

They don't recognize federal jurisdiction and they don't consider themselves U.S. citizens. They shun federal handouts and even issue their own passports. Radical white guys with guns in Montana? No, it's the **Onondaga Nation** who have controlled the territory they live on near Syracuse, New York for centuries. Still, the federal government doesn't concede the Onandagas' independence and the tribe has been in several legal battles with the State of New York which claims jurisdiction over the nation.


The Onandagas' stance has locked the nation into poverty by western standards but the Onandagas consider themselves lucky to be free and to escape such blessings of civilization as being taxed, drafted investigated and counted, according to **Chief Irving Powless**.

Nearby Oneida Nation members and fellows of the **Six Nation Iroquois Confederacy** don't share the Onandagas' vision of sovereignty. They are convinced true sovereignty must be built on economic independence. They have reportedly raked in \$100 million in casino profits since 1993. They have also expanded their land base from 32 acres to 4,000 acres.

Oneida's tribal representative, Ray Halbritter says, "The idea that you can be completely sovereign and separate from everybody else, I think, is not realistic...You can be sovereign on top of a dump, but what are you sovereign of? You're king of a dump."

Chief Powless, who is a member of the tribal council which is picked by clan mothers in the matriarchal society asserts that there are a lot of happy, well-fed people at the Onondaga territory; people who are firmly rooted in their culture. "We're still here, he says. 'We're still surviving without compromising our position for the last 200 years.'" [SOURCE: The Honolulu Advertiser]

.....



Full-Scale Civil War Brewing In Mexico

There has been a major civil war in the State of **Chiapas** since January 1, 1994. The people were finally fed up and declared war on the Mexican government. This rebellion doesn't have the slightest tinge of left-wing ideologies, nor is Cuba involved. This rebellion is only to get the Constitution to reign again in Mexico. Now this war involves the U.S. military and the internationalists who have sided with the Mexican government. They are sending helicopters, jet fighters, tanks and anti-riot equipment to the Mexican government. Much of the arms caches taken from drug traffickers are resold to the rebels. All this happens through Canada which is the clearinghouse for international arms traffic.

There is a lot of heavy weaponry pouring into Mexico from various sources. Whoever is orchestrating this is guaranteeing that when the killing starts in Mexico there'll be plenty of firepower to kill each other off. The overall effect besides destroying Mexico will be to destabilize and divide the people of the United States.

Every sector of the population is against the current government imposed through electoral fraud. When a civil war breaks out in Mexico the U.S. will certainly intervene. The most radical Mexicans in the U.S. will react to this declaration of war against the Mexican people and commit acts of terrorism against the U.S.

This will further incite civil unrest,

NEWS FROM THE FRONTLINE

divide the people, and be grounds for imposing martial law within the United States as well. This is exactly what the New World Order wants—to precipitate war so they can come to the rescue with their "peacekeepers" and regional government. [SOURCE: Steve Quayle, *Blueprint for Survival, The USA Patriot Magazine*, October 1995, p.4; Luis Malgozza, *Mexican Exiles for Democracy*; Reviewed by Johnny Liberty]

.....

Internationalists Destablizing North America to Reconstruct Regional Government

Henry Kissinger said that NAFTA was the biggest step toward the New World Order. The goal of the globalists is to destroy all sense of nationalism, sovereignty and cultural origin. The international bankers are the new conquistadors of Mexico, Latin America and the rest of the third world (including the USA).

We'll be talking about the destabilization of Mexico and how it will affect the perceptions and borders of the United States. The New World Order can play the sovereignty card both ways and is encouraging the breaking apart of Mexico, Canada and the USA. This will allow them to better orchestrate the reconstruction into regional governments after Civil War has torn the nations apart.

The New World Order plan is not only to destabilize, ransack and pillage entire countries, but also to see the death of four to five billion people on earth. Revolution is of their making. [SOURCE: IBID]

.....

NAFTA Enslaves Canadians, Americans & Mexicans

The majority of the people of Canada, the United States and Mexico opposed NAFTA. We all have the same basic concerns even though our countries are all different, our ways of life will be destroyed by NAFTA. The whole situation is being engineered in such a way

that they will enslave the people of all three countries at the same time. It is essential that the people of North America build bridges and organize communications around these issues. [SOURCE: IBID].

.....

Legislation Proposed to Review NAFTA

One of the purposes of NAFTA is to "create an expanded and secure market" for goods and services. However, NAFTA is draining \$2.5 million a month from the U.S. economy, according to a report by **Troy Underhill** in *Media Bypass*. The country is has also lost 227,000 manufacturing jobs and 300,000 high paying positions.

NAFTA is also supposed to "ensure a predictable framework for business planning and investment," but there are no safeguards in the agreement to offset the negative impact of severe currency fluctuations. The U.S. has tried to protect its economy by bolstering the Mexican peso with \$30 billion in loans. The devaluation of the peso has, however, canceled out any benefits the U.S. expected to achieve from the agreement.

The **NAFTA Accountability Act, HR 2651** is being proposed to assess NAFTA impact, to require further negotiation of certain provisions within NAFTA and to provide for withdrawal if those provisions are not met. [SOURCE: *Media Bypass*, March, 1996]

.....

Maurice Strong Top Contender for UN Secretary General

The position of Secretary General of the UN will be vacated this year and Maurice Strong is top contender for the position. **Maurice Strong** was once touted by New Yorker magazine as the man "upon whom the survival of civilization... might depend." Through the years this **Club of Rome** member has served as president of the **World Federation of United Nations Associations**, founded and co-chaired the **World Economic Forum**, founded **Planetary Citizens**, served as a trustee for the **Rockefeller Foundation** and the **Aspen Institute**, directed the **World Future Society** and

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

headed the financial arm of the **Lindisfarne Association** which promotes the religion of Gaia.

Maurice Strong is a Canadian Socialist whose business background includes, vice-president of **Dome Petroleum**, president of **Powers Corporation of Canada**, founder of the **Canadian International Development Association**, CEO of **Hydro Ontario**; and he has ties to **Petro Canada** and the **Business Council of Sustainable Development (BCSD)**. Members of BCSD include representatives from **Chevron**, **Browning Ferris** and **Dow Chemical**.

A tireless UN worker, Strong was Secretary General of the **United Nations Conference on the Human Environment** in 1972. After that he was appointed executive director of the **UN Environment Program (UNEP)**. He is credited with revitalizing the **International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN)** in 1980. In 1992 he was Secretary General of the "**Earth Summit**" in Rio de Janeiro, then went on to form the **Earth Council** in Costa Rica.

Is Maurice Strong just a tycoon who is using environmental rhetoric to gain power, or does he really believe all that stuff? In 1971, a woman approached Maurice Strong at a dinner party and told him she had heard he was either a genius or a fake. That woman, now his wife says that after 25 years she is still trying to figure out which one it is. [SOURCE: *Multiple Land Use Review*, March/April 1996]

.....

Taxing the "Global Neighborhood"

The Global Commission to Fund the United Nations says present financial constraints on UN activities require a change in financing methods for the world body. It is now time to "shift the burden of financing the UN from national to global sources by introducing charges for the use of the global commons or levies on international activities such as foreign currency transaction."

The **UN Commission on Global Governance** says global taxation is necessary to service the needs of the global neighborhood and that the safeguarding of the global commons cannot be achieved with the current drip-feed approach to financing. [SOURCE: *Multiple Land Use Review*, March/April 1996]

.....

UN Global Governance Planned for the Year 2000

The UN Commission on Global Governance is calling for a **World Conference on Global Governance** in 1998. The purpose of the conference is to adopt treaties and agreements that will pave the way for global government by the year 2000.

The official UN motive for global government is the establishment of justice and equity worldwide. Justice, the theory goes, can only happen when all of humankind is economically equalized. The Commission assumes that earth's masses are now ready to accept a new "global ethic" but that territorial people may need a little help overcoming the primal instinct of wanting to own private property. Those who buck the UN's plans will be corrected via the use of international equity law, backed up with physical force.

The **UN Trusteeship Council** is being restructured to represent UN accredited Non-Governmental Organizations and this is the group that is to assume trusteeship and hold title to the "global commons." Proposals for international taxes are being pushed to fund the UN takeover. The most significant is a 0.5% tax on foreign exchange transactions. This is projected to rake in \$1.5 trillion a year which represents a 150 fold increase over the current UN budget of \$11 billion.

The current administration is full of Clinton appointees who wholeheartedly support the UN's program. For instance, Deputy Secretary of State **Strobe Talbott** wrote an article for Time magazine called "**The Birth of the Global Nation**," and he won the Global Governance Award from the **World Federalist Association** in 1993.

Furthermore, President Clinton appointed **Morton Halperin** as Assistant Secretary of Defense. His commitment to global governance was so strong he failed the Senate confirmation hearings. Thereafter, he was appointed to the National Security Council which does not require Senate approval.

President Clinton also welcomed the UN agenda with open arms with his **Presidential Decision Directive (PDD25)**, a classified document that is not even available to Congress. A summary that was circulated to Congress revealed the "creation by the UN of a Plans Division, an Information and Research Division, an Operations Division, a Logisti-

NEWS FROM THE FRONTLINE

cal Division, A Public Affairs Cell, a Civil Police Cell and a Professional Peace Operations Training Program.

The UN should have a rapidly deployable headquarters team and its own modest airlift capabilities." The administration claims this document gives the President authority to assign U.S. military personnel to the United Nations. [SOURCE: IBID]

.....

WILLIAMS MILITIAS

Michael New Court-marshalled

Specialist **Michael New** is the first United States soldier to receive a bad conduct discharge for refusing an order to wear a UN beret and insignia. New, who was scheduled to serve in Macedonia under UN command, said he was never told by his recruiter that he might be compelled to perform involuntary servitude on behalf of the **United Nations**.

In response to the court-martial and verdict, a number of small anti-UN protests and pro-Michael New vigils were held around the nation. Also, the "American Hero Restoration Act" introduced in Congress urges President Clinton to override New's conviction and restore his former honor and status. [SOURCE: *The American's Bulletin*; Michael New Defense Fund; Reviewed by Esther Holmes]

.....

Kitchen Militia Mobilizes

The Kitchen Militia is a group of little old ladies, mothers, grandmothers—women armed with information, FAX machines, telephones and stationary. "We educate ourselves, get copies of laws, stay abreast and try to notify people about what's going on," says **Kathy McDaniel**, Membership Director of the Louisiana Kitchen Militia. "I have five children from 26 to eight-years-old, so I think I have a real vested interest in what's going on in this country," she said. The Kitchen Militia

began in Louisiana about three months ago and has already spread to ten states. "You know how some women get depressed?" Well, this gives the ladies something to do," Mrs. McDaniel said. "We're not going to storm anybody in fatigues with guns; I've never shot anything but a BB gun, but I'll tell you one thing, they're going to get some lip service." [SOURCE: The Kitchen Militia can be reached at Louisiana Kitchen Militia, P.O. Box 703, DeQuincy, Louisiana, 70633. Phone (318) 463-6574, FAX (318) 786-5527]

.....

Posse Comitatus

A "posse" is not a vigilante group, but all men between age 18-45, in good health and not in federal military service. These men comprise the posse of a county and are expected to answer a call to arms by their sheriff. A posse is the power or force of the county (i.e., comitatus).

The county sheriff is the Chief Executive Officer of the sovereign state. A dutiful sheriff poses a serious threat to those in government who would subvert our basic rights. De jure states are never subdivisions of a central government. Nor are the counties subdivisions of the states. [SOURCE: Al Long, Sr. with Richard Neff Hubbard, *The Fearsome Beast That Keeps Us Free*, Perceptions, Jan/Feb 1996, p.12]

.....

Militia Man Arrested for Assault and Resisting Arrest

On February 4, Bruce Alden, Banister was traveling to church with his wife and daughters, aged two and three, when he was pulled over by Wenatchee City Police Officer Paul Hughes, for having expired tags. Mr. Banister got out of the car and when the officer asked to see his driver's license, he said no. Mr. Banister, who says he is not a US citizen said he was exercising what he believes to be his 5th Amendment right to refuse to identify himself. The officer immediately attempted to handcuff Mr. Banister, who resisted. The officer then pulled out his pepper spray and called for backup

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

yelling, "Help! Help! Militia man! Militia man!" At that point Mr. Banister agreed to show the officer his license, stating that he was doing so under duress. The officer then asked him if he had a weapon. Upon a positive response, the weapon was taken.

Mr. Banister, who thought he was being treated unfairly announced that he was going to move toward the street where people could witness the interaction. By that time two more officers had already arrived and a scuffle ensued as they tried to push Mr. Banister toward a police car. Since he resisted, he was sprayed with pepper spray and taken to jail. He says he was intimidated, threatened and mistreated at the jail. Apparently, he was unwilling to sign papers he could not read after being sprayed in the face with pepper spray. The officers interpreted this as his being uncooperative.

He suspects his problems started when he presented an affidavit to the local sheriff on behalf of the newly formed Wenatchee Minuteman Militia. Mr. Banister said the papers officially offered the militia's help in an emergency. Chelan County sheriff Dan Breda told the Wenatchee World that Mr. Banister had visited him and the Douglas County Sheriff saying that the militia had plans to contest state laws that require people to have driver's licenses and vehicle registration. Sheriff Breda said, "We agreed if they wanted to argue these issues in court we would work with them...to set up a situation so they could be cited or arrested, and it would be a peaceful situation." [SOURCE: Bruce Alden, Banister; American's Bulletin; Wenatchee World; Reviewed by Esther Holmes]

.....

MONEY\$\$\$

Carlos Salinas Steals Billions From Mexico

The ex-President of Mexico is wanted for ordering the assassination of his political rivals and personally pillaging Mexico in excess of \$12-\$20 billion during the privatization of most of the state-owned industry, except oil, when he was in office.

He moved money out of the country into Luxembourg and Lichtenstein, then bought out those companies through "straw men."

Salinas now owns most of **TelMex**, as well as being the major stockholder of **AT&T Mexico**, **MCI Mexico**, and **Sprint Mexico**. He has controlling interest in the major television networks in Latin America. [SOURCE: Steve Quayle, *Blueprint for Survival*, The USA Patriot Magazine, October 1995, p.4; Luis Malgoza, *Mexican Exiles for Democracy*; Reviewed by Johnny Liberty]

.....

Mexican Bailout Never Reached the Mexican People

The \$20 billion that the U.S. government appropriated for Mexico never left New York, but went directly into **Goldman-Sachs**. Guess who our President appointed to the position of Secretary of the Treasury after **Lloyd Bentsen-Robert Rubin**, the CEO of Goldman-Sachs. This is the man who set up all the loan guarantees to Mexico.

Luis Malgoza, the official spokesman for **Mexican Exiles for Democracy (MEFD)** says: "There are 16,800,000 Mexicans forced to be guests of the united states of America because of the economic and political policies of Mexico. There is a government today in Mexico that is one of the most corrupt in the world. The government has taken all the natural resources, all the human resources, all the property and the money."

"The world powers have tried to Balkanize the European continent while attempting to form one large imperial power in North America, very much like the plans that Hitler had for Europe. They want one central power; the international financial community, which through the International Monetary Fund controls the interests and lives of a billion people in the North American continent."—Luis Malgoza [SOURCE: IBID]

.....

Middle Class Wiped Out In Mexico

Mexico has 107% interest rates, the

NEWS FROM THE FRONTLINE

international bankers angry at them, and cartels that want to destroy the country and reap the plunder. It also has a large amount of oil that the greed-masters want. The revenues from the oil now go straight to the Federal Reserve as a guarantee for the \$20 billion dollars loaned to Mexico to pay the Wall Street investors.

There is a total inability of the average head of household to support a family. A quart of milk sells for \$5-\$6 dollars, while the minimum wage is \$0.80/hour. The breaking of the backbone of the Mexican middle class began in 1976. They are the people with the ability to sustain a prolonged battle against government both in the courtroom and through the force of arms when backed into a corner.

Despite tremendous opposition, the middle class has organized, and is engaging in civil disobedience, such as refusing to pay any outstanding bank loans, mortgages, credit card balances or any kind of obligation to the banks. Mexico is on the brink of declaring a moratorium on the service of its foreign debt which could precipitate the collapse of the international banking system.

The lower classes are too preoccupied with bringing bread to the table and the upper classes couldn't care less, until today. Now, the Mayan Indians and various other rebel groups and the most powerful financial groups are preparing for their own self-defense. There are 24 new billionaires in Mexico. [SOURCE: *IBID*]

.....

POLICE STATE

Supreme Court Encourages Asset Forfeiture

Some critics of the "Patriot Movement" accuse Constitutionlists of advocating a return to the ownership of property as a requirement for voting. There may be a few who advocate grounding political rights in ownership of real estate, but what critics fail to realize is that we all own property of some kind and the government wants to get its hands on it.

A recent Supreme Court ruling makes it

easier for federal prosecutors to coerce defendants to turn over personal assets in exchange for a lighter sentence in a plea bargain. Judges must no longer establish a link between the crime and defendant's desired property. Criticism of this policy centers around concern that an Attorney-Police-Prison state that feeds off the assets of its seizures encourages abuses and further erodes the right of the people to life, liberty and property. [SOURCE: *Media Bypass*, February 1996; Reviewed by Esther Holmes]

.....

Common Law Court Estops Bank Foreclosure

Klamath county ranchers **Buck and Lorraine Rodgers** were assisted by citizen militias and Common law court in stopping a seizure of their property by the Klamath County Sheriff's Office. The couple believed they had paid off farm loans borrowed from the **South Valley State Bank**, but the bank said they still owed, so the Rodgers kept paying. Last year, with farm income crucially low, they could no longer afford to pay the bank. The bank then threatened them with foreclosure and the couple sought common law remedies. While they were in the county recorder's office, Mr. and Mrs. Rodgers discovered documents that proved their bank loans had been paid in full.

With word from the Sheriff that he was moving in as soon as he got the foreclosure order, with the intent to shoot to kill if there was any resistance, a call for help went out to area militias.

On Monday, March 18, a Motion for Relief of Judgment, to Vacate Judgment for Fraud was filed. The next day, the president of the bank was contacted in an attempt to reverse the tense situation. He disavowed any knowledge of the bank's fraudulent lawsuit against the Rodgers and said he would immediately cancel the foreclosure. He also agreed to recognize **Curtis Garrison**, Marshall of the Common Law Supreme Court for Oregon as Buck Rodgers' representative. Mr. Garrison produced a Stipulated Order of Dismissal and Gag Order forever sealing the litigation and default judgment against the Rodgers. [SOURCE: *American's Bulletin*; Reviewed by Esther Holmes. *Citizen Action & Common Law*]

.....

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

Doctor SWATted for Back Child Support

In February, **Dr. Lynn Crawford** was visiting his elderly mother in Coushatta, Louisiana when about fifty government agents swarmed in to arrest him on a felony misdemeanor stemming from unpaid child support. Mrs. Crawford was in the yard when the SWAT team, FBI and local law enforcement arrived and detained her for 24 hours.

According to a report by **Kathy McDaniel** from the **Louisiana Kitchen Militia**, a police dog was sent into the carport to "assist with Dr. Crawford's arrest." A dog fight ensued and agents shot the family dog. They showed Dr. Crawford a writ of attachment which he said was not a valid 4th Amendment warrant. He said he did not recognize the rules the feds were operating under because they were unconstitutional. Somehow he got back into the house and stayed there for a week.

During that time an alert went out on the militia network and units from Louisiana, and surrounding states showed up with camcorders and other communication equipment. **Bob Woren** of the **Norwela Militia** helped mediate an end to the stand-off. Dr. Crawford surrendered and was taken to the federal holding facility at the airport in Oklahoma City for about ten days. He was then transferred to the **St. Genivieve County Jail**. In an affidavit he was able to smuggle out he claims he is being beaten and starved.

A call to the St. Genivieve County Jail, to confirm Dr. Crawford's whereabouts was referred to the US Marshals Office. They refused to say where he is being held. When asked what the charges are, a Marshall spokesperson who identified herself as Flora said, "I don't really know, I think it has something to do with child support."

Johnny Johnson, Texas Common Militia, spoke with **Lynn Crawford** on Wednesday, April 9. He is currently being held at the **St. Claire County Jail** infirmary in Belleville, Illinois. He is only being fed 1200 calories per day and is losing 1 1/2 pounds per day of body weight. Medicine for his heart condition and diabetes is still being withheld. They have taken his writing instruments and won't let him send or receive mail.

His co-counsel has taken \$15,000 so far and is refusing to proceed with the case unless

Dr. Crawford comes up with another \$30,000 or \$47,000 worth of land (which is what the mother owns).

Mrs. Crawford's (the mother) home has been raided twice since her son's arrest. Feds have confiscated over 50 different firearms from Dr. Crawford's collection.

Johnny Johnson is the one that Dr. Crawford called for help during the initial standoff at his mother's place. He was the command headquarters during the FBI/Militia negotiations. Those negotiations resulted in the FBIs agreeing to walk away (because Crawford was not dangerous and only presumed guilty of a misdemeanor. Crawford in turn, agreed to turn himself in to local law enforcement within a couple of days. However, within 48 hours, the feds had returned and set up roadblocks around house and forced him to surrender to them. [SOURCE: Johnny Johnson interviewed by Esther Holmes]

.....

Judge "Licking Honey Off the Edge of a Razor Blade"

In a heavily guarded Wenatchee Washington courtroom, Chelan County Superior Court Judge, John Bridges said presiding over a case like Bruce Alden, Banister's was like "licking honey off of the edge of a razor blade." Mr. Banister, is facing charges of assaulting an officer and resisting arrest.

At his arraignment he announced that he did not wish to acquiesce to the jurisdiction of the court by crossing the bar; to which the judge responded, "Don't push me. Come forward now." Mr. Banister further challenged the jurisdiction of the court saying he believed he could only be charged by a grand jury and that he was concerned that the court did not recognize the Constitution. He refused to plead, saying instead, he wanted to enter a plea of abatement against the illegal corporation of the United States of America. He refused a court-appointed attorney, stating that he believed having an attorney would waive his right to challenge the court's jurisdiction. He said he preferred instead to be represented by his friend Ron Kurpui. Judge Bridges said state law requires people to either represent themselves or be represented by a licensed attorney and doing so

NEWS FROM THE FRONTLINE

would not ruin his chances of challenging jurisdiction. "People do it all the time," he said.

After about an hour of discussion, the judge reiterated that Mr. Banister had a constitutional right to be represented by an attorney. Mr. Banister asked the judge, "wouldn't that be acquiescing to the jurisdiction of this court?" Judge Bridges said he didn't know, but asked Mr. Banister if he wanted a continuance to consider hiring one. Mr. Banister replied that he wanted a continuance, but not to consider hiring an attorney. Judge Bridges recorded his statement as a motion, which he denied, then read the charges and entered a plea of not guilty for Mr. Banister. Trial is set for May 6, 1996. [SOURCE: Bruce Alden, Banister, American's Bulletin, Wenatchee World; Reviewed by Estar Holmes]

.....

Schweitzer & Peterson Arrested in Montana

FBI agents posing as ham radio antenna installers gained access to Justus Township in Garfield county, Montana on the morning of March 25, 1996 and arrested **LeRoy Schweitzer** and **Daniel Petersen**.

Mr. Schweitzer and Mr. Petersen were indicted May 19, 1995 by a federal grand jury. A superseding indictment was filed which charged them and ten others with various offenses relating to alleged schemes involving the issuance of fraudulent "money orders and checks," according to an FBI press release disseminated by the **National Confederation of Citizens Militias**.

The men were taken to Billings, Montana for arraignment before US magistrate, **Richard W. Anderson** on Tuesday, March 26. Both are also facing state charges of impersonation of a public servant, criminal syndicalism, felony threats and other improper influence in political matters. They are also charged with several federal counts involving issuance of fraudulent "money orders and checks."

Mr. Schweitzer and Mr. Petersen objected to the court's jurisdiction, to the way their names were written on the indictments and refused court-appointed attorneys. "**We are from the foreign country of Montana, we are non-resident aliens without the US,**" they

said. Demonstrators were present at the courthouse and arrests were made.

US Attorney **Sherry Matteucci** suggested the men should be placed in a separate courtroom where they could watch the proceedings on television. However on Friday, they were back in court and continued to object, insisting their rights were being violated. As they were being led from the courtroom in chains, both men attempted to speak but could not be heard, according to the **Spokesman Review**, which reported that a sketch artist for the **Billings Gazette** heard Petersen say, "You watch folks, when it goes down it's going to be worse than WACO!"

Robert Montgomery, head of the **Hostage Rescue Team**, said the FBI is not restricting media access to the scene, rather, the Freemen are chasing the media away. On Wednesday, March 27th, armed Freemen purportedly robbed a three-member NBC-TV camera crew of their gear on a county road. After that incident, armed FBI agents, teamed up with Montana State Patrols immediately and began stopping all vehicles near the township "to warn them of the danger at hand." Agent Montgomery also said local traffic is not being restricted, however roving teams of SWAT agents are stopping and questioning people traveling in the area.

Mr. Schweitzer has refused all food and water since the arrest. Yellowstone County **Sheriff, Chuck Maxwell** said, "He apparently believes that if he eats or drinks or showers, or does anything, that is somehow a signal that he accepts the jurisdiction of what's going on here." On March 30th at 3 a.m. Mr. Schweitzer was transferred to the **Springfield Medical Facility** in Missouri. His return to Montana and a Common law grand jury trial are two demands being made by the Freemen remaining under siege at Justus Township. [SOURCE: National Confederation of Citizens Militias; The Spokesman Review; Reviewed by Esther Holmes]

.....

Todd, Schroder & Spence Mediate With Freemen Over Standoff

Walker Todd, (formerly worked as lawyer and economist for Federal Reserve) was mediating with **Dr. Eugene Schroder** at the

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

Freemen standoff. He said they had split their duties, with Schroder dealing with the Schweitzer families and Todd dealing with the Petersen families.

He said 7 out of the 20 (that's 18 since mother and kid came out yesterday 4-11) were not wanted at all. 8 or so are wanted on charges of issuing liens and bogus checks. There are only a few who are wanted on the more serious charges of threatening to kill judges, etc.

He feels he endeared himself to patriots when he wrote a paper while still with the Federal Reserve about the fed in the 1930's and the improper use of the War Powers when there was no war. He said he did not know Eugene Schroder at the time, having only met him about a year ago. The paper is entitled WORKING PAPER # 9405. It can be had by calling the Cleveland Federal Reserve at (216) 579-3079 and responding to the recording.

Walker said it was true that the families were asking for a common law grand jury but he knew the FBI would never go for that. He was trying to figure out how they could come out saving face but still end up in the arms of the federal law. He figured that was the only scenario that would be acceptable to the FBI.

Walker had also testified as an expert witness in two trials in Texas regarding fallout from the liens. One trial was in December, the other in February. He thinks the whole lien strategy is fraudulent, can not be supported Constitutionally, and that it is legally defective. He gave a speech in Boise, Idaho in March to that effect and was heckled toward the end by Freeman supporters. Walker does agree though that the standoff over bad checks is overkill.

Gerry Spence is indeed the main mediator right now. He was the only one of a list of possibles that the families would agree to. [SOURCE: Todd Walker interviewed by Esther Holmes]

.....


FincEN Follows the Money

The **Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FincEN)** is a federal intelligence gathering network operating under the auspices of the Treasury Department. The stated goal of FincEN is to collate, analyze and disseminate information on financial crimes, especially

drug money laundering. FincEN is an extensive spy network armed with sophisticated computer resources.

It is linked with 13 intelligence organizations and 14 federal law enforcement agencies such as the **FBI, BATF, CIA, DEA, SS, etc., as well as the IRS, Interpol,** and financial institutions. Every law enforcement group from the smallest local police department to the National Security Agency can access FincEN in their attempts to identify, track and prosecute criminals—or those who are just a threat to the established order. To see FincEN's public relations propaganda on the Internet, go to their home page at: <http://www.ustreas.gov/treasury/bureaus/fincen/facts.html>. [SOURCE: Media By-pass, April 1996]

.....



Sovereign states Must Re-Appoint U.S. Senators & Repeal 17th Amendment


Each state legislature must take the sovereign prerogative to re-exercise its appointment power and put itself back into the equation of lawmaking. Introduced April 5, 1911, HJR 39 falsely proposed the 3/4 rule applied to the passage of the 17th Amendment. Article V of the Constitution for the united states of America specifies that "no state without its consent, shall be deprived of its equal suffrage in the Senate."

Those states did not consent to give up their representation at that time. Each state, to reenter the Union of states under the Constitution, must appoint U.S. Senators through their respective legislatures and revoke the 17th Amendment. This is part of the provisional government process that is now being formulated in three states—Colorado, Texas and Oregon.

This will put some teeth into the resolutions of the twenty-six states who are using the Tenth Amendment to fight overgrown federal government, debauched money, taxation, Executive Orders, de facto judges,

NEWS FROM THE FRONTLINE

cabinets, and treaties like NAFTA & GATT. [SOURCE: Jim Russell, J.O.I.N.; Reviewed by Johnny Liberty]



County Assessor Locks Horns With IRS

County Assessor Jackie Payne of Big Horn, Wyoming has refused to turn over documents requested by IRS agent Michael Sanchez. Sanchez wants the records to investigate Frederick D. Mills who is accused of failing to file for the years 1989 to 1993. Assessor Payne, who called Sanchez a "Puerto Rican agent," says state law protects the confidentiality of documents containing information about real estate sales prices. U.S. District Judge, C.A. Brimmer insists that federal law and IRS summons supersede state law, but Payne says Big Horn County Sheriff, Dave Mathes will arrest her if she violates state law. [SOURCE: Veritas, February, 1996; Reviewed by Esther Holmes]

IRS Considers "Taxpayers" Narcotics Dealers?

"When Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests have been filed for an Individual Master File (IMF) for people who are experiencing tax problems with the IRS, every return has been found to contain the above codes except for some which are coded as "Guam" returns. Every return shows that the unsuspecting Citizen is being taxed on income derived from importing narcotics, alcohol, tobacco, or firearms into the United States or one of its territories or possessions, from a foreign country or from Guam, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, or into the Virgin Islands from the Cayman Islands." [SOURCE: ???]

What is the IRS, and Where Did it Come From?

The Internal Revenue Service and the Bureau of Alcohol Tobacco and Firearms are not organizations or agencies under the Department of the Treasury. They are not mentioned in 31 USC, ch. 3, which lists all 31 organizations and agencies in that Department. So, what are they and where did they come from?

In 1902 and 1904 two offshore trusts were created in the Philippines. These trusts—the settlers and beneficiaries of which are a mystery—were set up to receive revenue generated by two Acts. These were the Philippine Customs Administrative Act, to regulate tariffs and trade, and the Internal Revenue Law of 1904 for the collection of all taxes and excises. This second Act created the Bureau of Internal Revenue (BIR) and swallowed up the Customs Administration of the first Act.

Laws in subsequent years created bureaucracies and codes that were to become the heart and soul of the fraudulent tax collection scam we are so familiar with. The Federal Alcohol Administration (FAA) was created by Prohibition but never went away when Prohibition was repealed. It resurfaced later as part of the BIR. Another Bureau of Internal Revenue was established sometime prior to 1940 in Puerto Rico, creating another trust named, Trust Fund #62 Puerto Rico special fund (Internal Revenue).

And the China Trade Act was passed to deal with exports of drugs and alcohol from China. This Act is where terms such as: withholding, income, credits, Assessment and Collection of Deficiencies, failure to file return, etc., appear for the first time. The Victory Tax Act sealed the fate of the ignorant American "taxpayers" who automatically assume they are required to turn a portion of everything that comes in (income) over to the United States Treasury.

In 1953 Secretary of the Treasury, G.M. Humphrey ordered the name BIR changed to Internal Revenue Service (IRS), thereby creating an agency without any Congressional or Executive approval. In June of 1972, Secretary of the Treasury, Charles E. Walker, signed a Treasury Order Number 120-01 establishing the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms. His Order specified, "functions,



NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

powers and duties of the IRS arising under laws relating to alcohol, tobacco, firearms, explosives" be transferred to the new Bureau.

Following the typical rule of bureaucratic contortions, Walker merged the titles of Director of BATF and Commissioner of Internal Revenue under the new term "Director." The Order then says that "Director BATF" has been replaced by the term "Internal Revenue Service." In this way, Walker created a thing out of a person.

The IRS is actually an offshore trust originally called BIR, which absorbed the outlawed FAA. The Administrator of the FAA is now the Director of the BATF, which is really the Internal Revenue Service. [SOURCE: Veritas, September 1995; reviewed by Esther Holmes]

.....



Feature Articles

SOVEREIGNTY IN HAWAI'I TODAY!

(continued from p.1)

Although at first dependent upon the mother and the father, then in modern times dependent on the State, a child eventually grows into the adulthood of their fullest sovereign potential in the maturity and wisdom of age. We the People must cast off the shackles of our social and educational programming by the powers that profit immensely through systematic manipulation and control, by those who harness our desires and will for their benefit, and limit our visions and dreams.

The political, economic and legal powers must be brought to task and exposed for what they really are—a massive legal fiction of greedy pirates, organized crime on the high seas. Their only real power comes from the massive ignorance and consent of the people worldwide.

Sovereignty is the key issue on the planet today. Sovereignty is to be free from any other nation's control—to have no higher Legislature than God. Sovereignty is not a privilege granted by one nation to another, but a right inherent in a people. The United Nations has proclaimed: *"All peoples have the right to self-determination; by virtue of that right they freely determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development."*

This does not in any way imply that the authority for such rights is derived from or that an independent sovereign nation must get recognition from the United Nations. It does not! This establishes as *prima facie* evidence that, even the United Nations acknowledges the principles of natural law and the Law Of Nations.

"Self-Determination is the deciding by a people of a nation what form of government they shall have without reference to the wishes of any other nation.... the people have a range of choices from total assimilation within another nation, territory status, autonomy, statehood, free association, commonwealth, to total independence and sovereignty."
—Francis Anthony Boyle

.....



LAW OF NATIONS & JUS COGENS

The founding documents of the united states of America and the Kingdom of Hawai'i hail a more than similar and equal heritage, reflecting among other things, the original source of divine wisdom, the very source of true sovereignty. Both nations were founded on spiritual principles and the maxims of Common law. With regards to sovereignty and the principles of self-government, power does not come from the top-down, but from the bottom-up. Most people still believe that the government gives us our civil "rights" and has the power to control our actions. That is so only in "democratic" or socialist forms of government. In a "republican" form of government, power rises up from the heart, the soul and the will of the individual and is based on the unalienable "rights" of We the People.

To demonstrate how the principles of self-government work in a republican form of government based on individual sovereignty, such as the united states of America, I'll provide the following illustration. Twelve to twenty-four sovereign individuals can gather and organize a jural society, a constitutional Common law court, a grand jury, or a township. These are the basic building blocks of self-government. When townships get together, they form counties which form sovereign states which in turn form Unions of sovereign states. Then and only then the federal, corporate United States government was created.

The Constitution for the united states of America rests on the organic law of the individual sovereign governed under the private international law of the Law of Nations. It cannot exist any other way except through usurpation. Both the American and the Hawai'i(an) systems of constitutional government were based on the inherent sovereignty of the individual and were established under the Law of Nations.

"In pursuance of the Law of Nations, and recognition set forth in Public Law 103-150, 107 Stat. 1510, at page 1513, and in pursuance of the recognized impaired Constitution for the Kingdom of Hawai'i, all authorities are derived from the same said sources as foundation for proceeding to reinstate the Nation."

—John B. Nelson

Both the Constitution for the united states of America and the Constitution for the Kingdom of Hawai'i consummated by Kamehameha III were expressly recognized and created under the Law of Nations. *"The essential nature of the Law of Nations is well established. We are to understand the code of public instruction which defines the rights and prescribes the duties of nations in their intercourse with each other. The faithful obser-*

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

vance of this law is essential to national character and the happiness of mankind.”—James Kent

“Congress shall have Power...To provide for define and punish Piracies and Felonies committed on the high Seas, and Offenses against the Law of Nations...”

—Constitution for the united states of America [1:8:10]

“The King has the power to make Treaties.

Treaties involving changes in the Tariff or in any law of the Kingdom, shall be referred for approval to the Legislature.

The King appoints Public Ministers, who shall be commissioned, accredited and instructed agreeably to the usage and Law of Nations.”

—Constitution for the Kingdom of Hawai’i,
Article 29 (1852) & 30 (as amended in 1864, 1887)

“...Liberty and independence belong to man by his very nature, and that they cannot be taken from him without his consent. Citizens of a State, having yielded them in part to the sovereign, do not enjoy them to their full and absolute extent [except in a Republic]. But the whole body of the Nation, the State, so long as it has not voluntarily submitted to other men or other nations, remains absolutely free and independent.”

—Emer De Vattel, The Law of Nations
or the Principles of Natural Law

The Law of Nations is the private international law between sovereign individuals, families/tribes, courts, grand juries, townships, counties, states and nations. This has been well established under various international conventions for thousands of years. All the administrative rules and regulations, statutes and the Uniform Commercial Code (U.C.C.) are derived ultimately from the organic Law of Nations—the Law of Sovereigns, and the principles of natural law.

It is from the Law of Nations that constitutions are created and lawful *de jure* governments consummated. Any government that portends to hold power and wields authority without being answerable to these Laws are *de facto* governments ruling by occupation, usurpation and exploitation. *De facto* governments justify their existence by the rule of force and coercion not by the rule of Law. Legitimate, lawful *de jure* governments of the sovereign people by the sovereign people and for the sovereign people do exist by the rule of Law.

The federal United States government is presently a *de facto* government ruling by occupation, usurpation and exploitation. Not only is the federal United States government bankrupt, but it has usurped its limited authority and jurisdiction, not only in the united states of America but in the Kingdom of Hawai’i. The federal United States government is desperately in want of lawful authority and dominion, but it can have none without the consent of the inherent sovereign people.

The sovereign American people created the federal government through the Constitution for the united states of America and the organic law that preceeded it. It is a universal rule of Law that the

Created (i.e, the government) can never be greater than the Creator (i.e., the sovereign). The federal United States government bases its entire existence upon the will of the sovereign people. Having obtained no consent, such a government cannot long last and shall collapse under the weight of its own lies and corruption.

“A de facto government is understood to be one in actual control of the government machinery of the State and exercising authority without substantial opposition.”

— Charles Fenwick

Under international law, *Jus cogens* are “rules universally recognized and so firmly recognized and so firmly established as to need no justification and are binding on all nations belonging to the community of nations.”

The binding, peremptory nature of *jus cogens* does not allow for derogation. Once an international norm becomes *jus cogens*, it is absolutely binding on all states, whether they have persistently objected or not. Even though the United States was not a signatory to the Vienna Convention, the principle of *jus cogens* is binding upon the United States.

.....

DE FACTO STATE OF HAWAII & UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Governments are instituted amongst men to secure the rights of the people, not to take them away. *De facto* governments have taken away the unalienable rights of the sovereign people of various nations and cultures. *The de facto State of Hawaii must be understood to be nothing more than a political subdivision and administrative agent of the federal United States government. There never was nor could there be a sovereign state of Hawaii as part of the Union of states. Not only was the State of Hawaii not on equal footing with the other Union states having been given a “special grant” of Statehood [Sourced from Admissions Act, Section 5(a-c), Public Law 86-3, 73 Stat 4, March 18, 1959], but it purportedly entered the Union after the federal United States government had already gone bankrupt, under a declared state of national emergency which had suspended the constitutional Republic indefinitely.*

Executive Order # 10834 (August 21, 1959), provided for a new star to be added to the Union of the flag, and it took effect on the fourth day of July then next succeeding the purported admission of the “State of Hawaii.” How can a Union state be admitted into a constitutional Republic under a declared state of national emergency when the Constitution has been suspended indefinitely? It cannot by any stretch of the legal imagination.

5(a) Except as provided in subsection (c) of this section, the State of Hawaii and its political subdivisions, as the case may

FEATURE ARTICLES

be, shall succeed to the title of the Territory of Hawaii and its subdivisions in those lands and other properties in which the Territory and its subdivisions now hold title.

5(b) Except as provided in subsection (c) and (d) of this section, the United States grants to the State of Hawaii, effective upon its admission into the Union, the United States title to all public lands and other public property, and to all lands defined as 'available lands' by section 203 of the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act, 1920, as amended, within the boundaries of the State of Hawaii, title to which is held by the United States immediately prior to its admission into the Union. The grant hereby made shall be in lieu of any and all grants provided for new States by provisions of law other than this act, and such grants shall not extend to the State of Hawaii.—Admissions Act

The federal United States government is a corporation that declared bankruptcy in 1933 and has been directed by its creditors, presently the International Monetary Fund (IMF), ever since. Bankrupt corporations no longer have the legal power to direct their own affairs.

The U.S. Congress was appointed as the Trustees of the bankrupt United States established on behalf of the creditors of the government. Bankrupt governments have in essence surrendered their sovereignty to their creditors. The State of Hawaii is, more accurately stated, an administrative agent of the creditors of the bankrupt federal United States government executing collection activities on behalf of the International Monetary Fund and its private stockholders.

Also, there was no common border between Hawaii and the other 48 states. Therefore, all commerce would be international, not intrastate or interstate in nature. As there is no Admiralty/Maritime under the "law of the land" in the united states of America, the State of Hawaii would fall exclusively under the Admiralty/Maritime jurisdiction and federal law like the other U.S. Territories and possessions. Only the federal government has Admiralty jurisdiction on the high seas.

Hawaii was not lawfully annexed in 1898, except by a Joint Resolution of Congress enacted under military occupation and by violating the laws of an already established and recognized nation-state. This was irregular for an annexation and unlawful under international law and the Law of Nations. The Republic of Hawaii was also a fraud imposed upon the peaceful people of the Kingdom by wealthy missionaries, businessmen and landowners who already controlled commerce and the Kingdom government in the Hawai'i(an) Islands.

The Republic of Hawaii was created to prepare Hawaii for annexation and second-class citizenship. There have been many documented irregularities regarding *Hawai'i nei* that are now being brought to the attention of the sovereign people. The Kingdom of Hawai'i was usurped, not lawfully annexed as a U.S. Territory nor ever admitted as a sovereign state of Hawaii.

Due to admitted acts of insurgency, rebellion and the overthrow of the Executive branch of the constitutional Kingdom of Hawai'i (1893) by *coup d' etat*, the *de jure* government is under continued

impairment and is properly considered an irregular state. The Legislative and Judicial branches of government, well established prior to the overthrow were not disbanded, but have continued to function to this day.

Only the Executive branch of the Monarchy was overthrown and replaced with a territorial Executive branch that soon thereafter petitioned for annexation to the United States of America (1898). These men were pretenders to the throne and nothing arising from them can be *de jure* or lawful. Today, the *de facto* State of Hawaii, by the admissions and confessions of Public Law 103-150, is a legal fiction and fabrication, null and void *ab initio*. *The State of Hawaii no longer exists at-law, only in its de facto and transitory capacity until the constitutional government is restored in the Kingdom of Hawai'i.*

Governor John Waihee raised the Hawai'i(an) flag for four days, in 1993, signifying the re-establishment of the sovereignty of these Hawai'i(an) islands back to their true hosts and inhabitants. Meanwhile the Hawai'i(an) people were redeclaring their sovereign independence on January 17th, 1993 at Iolani Palace. This was the beginning of the restoration and reinstatement of the lawful, constitutional Kingdom of Hawai'i government that was illegally overthrown in 1893. The following constitutes a summary of historical events to wit:

Hawai'i(an) Declaration of Rights & Laws (1839)
Constitutions of Hawai'i (1840, 1852, 1887)
de jure Hawai'i(an) Kingdom government (1839-1893)
de facto Provisional government (1893-1894)
de facto Republic of Hawaii (1894-1898)
de facto U.S. Territory of Hawaii (1898-1959)
de facto State of Hawaii (1959-present)
Declaration of Independence (1993)
People's International Tribunal (1993)
Public Law 103-150, 107 Stat. 1510 Supra (1993)

Interim Provisional Government Council
(1993-present)

.....

OFFICE OF HAWAIIAN AFFAIRS (OHA)

The Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA) was established as an interim Executive branch of government to provide administrative remedy for the repatriation of the inherent Hawai'ian sovereign. OHA was originally established by federal and State trust mandates during the Constitutional Convention of 1978 as a body corporate and separate entity independent of the Executive branch of the State of Hawaii. The Trustees of OHA have failed to fulfill their fiduciary responsibility to the beneficiaries of this Trust established on behalf of the inherent Hawai'ian sovereign.

OHA was intended to be a government-to-government, nation-to-nation process of cleaning the adhesions from the native and inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereign and providing a formal process for restoring self-government in the Kingdom of Hawai'i.

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

OHA failed to provide the proper voter registration process for the Hawai'i(an) sovereign without various adhesion contracts impairing their status. The Trustees were also elected, as intended, by impaired native Hawai'i(ans) classified as U.S. citizens.

These impairments and many others have successfully rendered OHA ineffective, and as a result the Hawai'i(an) people harbor great resentments against OHA and do not trust them. The Board of Trustees for OHA has been noticed of its obligation to recognize the inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereign to provide the proper administrative and statutory remedies, and of its failure to perform its mandated duties as Trustees. Public Law 103-150, Nov. 23, 1993, 107 Stat., supra, acknowledges the ramifications of the overthrow, restores the rights and dignities due both the Hawai'ian and American societies, and mandates a formal process of repatriation.

Article XII, Section 5, State Constitution

Office of Hawai'ian Affairs, Board of Trustees

There is hereby established an Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA). The OHA shall hold title to all the real and personal property now or hereafter set aside or converted to it which shall be held in trust for native Hawai'i(ans) [i.e. inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereigns] and Hawai'i(ans). There shall be a board of trustees for the OHA elected by qualified voters [Note: impaired U.S. citizens] who are Hawai'i(ans), as provided by law. The board members shall be Hawai'i(ans). There shall be not less than nine members of the board of trustees; provided that each of the following islands have one representative: Oahu, Kauai, Molokai and Hawai'i. The board shall select chairpersons from its members.

HRS, Chapter 10-1

Declaration of purpose. (a) The people of the State of Hawaii and the USA as set forth and approved in the Admission Act established a public trust which includes among other responsibilities, betterment of conditions for native Hawai'i(ans). The people of the State of Hawaii reaffirmed their solemn trust obligation and responsibility to native Hawai'i(ans) and furthermore declared in the state constitution that there be an Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA) to address the needs of the aboriginal class of people of Hawai'i. (b) It shall be the duty and responsibility of all state departments and instrumentalities of state government providing services and programs which affect native Hawai'i(ans) and Hawai'i(ans) to actively work toward the goals of this chapter and to cooperate with and assist whenever possible the Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA).

.....

RESTORING THE CONSTITUTIONAL KINGDOM OF HAWAI'I

In Hawai'i, the time is ripe for all inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereigns to reclaim the sovereignty that is rightfully and lawfully theirs—to regain their lands, property, rights, tradition, ancestry, culture and language. This must be done by a formal

process of repatriation, by declaring and recognizing their inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereign status and restoring the constitutional government for the Kingdom of Hawai'i.

This is a formal process at-law of redeclaring your independence through an "Affidavit of Truth/Correction of Status," under oath, and giving courtesy constructive legal notice to any and all agencies of any and all occupying, *de facto* governments, State, federal or international. Then the inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereign must perfect "quiet title" of both status and property in the restored Hawai'i Supreme Court Tribunal for the Kingdom of Hawai'i.

The Hawai'ian people have the unalienable right having been duly recognized and acknowledged pursuant to an act of Congress to proceed toward full cognitive recognition and complete independence. Today, there are no longer any obstacles for complete independence and the restoration of self-government, except whatever obstacles the Hawai'i(an) people themselves must overcome to free themselves and unify the many factions of the sovereignty movement. This is the greatest challenge at hand!

The Hawai'i(an) people have the unalienable right to set-up an absentee government in exile—an Interim Provisional Government Council (IPGC), reinhabit the existing Legislative and Judicial branches of government, restore the Executive branch, call for special elections, and bring the recognized Constitution for the Kingdom of Hawai'i (1887) forward 109 years in time by calling for a Constitutional Convention (1996).

The Kingdom of Hawai'i is a unique constitutional Republic, a mixed government with two societies, Hawai'i(an) and American within the same structure. A government originally modeled after the united states of America. A government that recognizes the sovereign and unalienable rights of the people.

The Office of the Constitutional Monarchy, or Executive branch, is presently vacant and must remain so until the Legislature in session and an election is called to name a Successor for the Royal Family. Any attempts to claim succession without a *de jure* process is contemplated as usurpation and cannot be acknowledged as legitimate at present.

A Council of Regency created through the auspices of the Kupuna Councils may be elected instead of succession by a King or Queen. The Council would then appoint cabinet ministers and judicial officers to reinhabit the constitutional republic for the Kingdom of Hawai'i. The *de jure* requirements for a lawful elections process are already laid out under the laws for the Kingdom of Hawai'i. It includes the formal registration of all verified electors and Citizen candidates.

Special elections must be set up to reinstate the House of Nobles (i.e. Senate) and the House of Representatives. Twenty-four Nobles and twenty-eight Representatives shall be elected in accordance with the 1887 Constitution (Article 58), and the 1892 compiled laws. The first and immediate duty of the specially elected Legislative body is to hold a Constitutional Convention, bring forward the impaired Constitution for the Kingdom of Hawai'i, and provide proper procedures and protocol for the amending and updating of the "Bayonet Constitution."

The Constitution for the Kingdom of Hawai'i (1887) limited voting rights and Citizenship to men with evidence of residency and an equivalence of \$12,000 in property. One important amend-

FEATURE ARTICLES

ment paramount to the times, extends the right for women to vote. Another might be eliminating the property requirement for the right to vote.

It is at this Constitutional Convention where all present and past constitutions, charters, conventions, treaties and agreements of all impaired provisional governments would properly be discussed as sources of information necessary to "perfect" the constitutional instrument and provide for all necessary elements under the Law of Nations.

We the People of the Hawai'i(an) Islands must return the crown to the true Sovereign, the King of kings, the Queen of queens, and the Lord of lords under our Creator. Self-government is a spiritual process and the Kahunas are the priests of the traditional culture. The Kupuna Council offers guidance and wisdom. The Kupuna Council has both the cultural basis in the language and the spiritual integrity to serve as a Council of Regency properly appointed as Cabinet Ministers. The initial House of Nobles serves as the provisional Legislature which is established by appointment as the pro tem Councils of State are formed to establish election laws and mediate unity amongst the many factions of the Hawai'i(an) sovereignty movement.

A preliminary special election is being called by delegates for July 1st, 1996 with a celebration on July 4th, 1996 to reinstate the inherent authority of the Kingdom of Hawai'i government, and call for a legitimate Constitutional Convention. This is when the already established Interim Provisional Government Council becomes a Transitional Government Council until such time as the inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereigns are completely repatriated and the Hawai'i(an) Islands peacefully transit into an independent nation free of foreign control and *de facto* rule.

Naturalization and quieting title is a formal process of repatriation in the Kingdom of Hawai'i, for establishing *de jure* Citizenship as an inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereign, American sovereign or other foreign Nationals, or as denizens in Hawai'i(an) or American society. This process occurs in the Common law Hawai'i Supreme Court Tribunal in the Hawai'i(an) Islands.

A massive educational and diplomatic process is currently underway to open communications between the various factions of the Hawai'i(an) sovereignty movement, to build consensus, direction and unity for moving toward full independence and restoration for the Kingdom of Hawai'i. This is being done in conjunction with the sovereign people from many nations, who are also building consensus, direction and unity to restoring their own unalienable rights of self-determination, whether indigenous or constitutional in form.

.....

INTERIM PROVISIONAL GOVERNMENT COUNCIL

Since the Board of Trustees for OHA has refused, after being duly noticed, to take responsibility for providing administrative remedy for the inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereign, an Interim Provisional Government Council (IPGC), henceforth referred to as IPGC, has been established to take on those functions and act on

behalf of the inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereigns.

The IPGC (1993-present) is the uncontested, adverse Trustee appointments in exigency on behalf of the inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereigns. Uncontested legal notice was given twice declaring IPGC the Pro Tem Executive Administrators of the impaired OHA, which is autonomous from all Executive branch departments and agencies of the *de facto* State of Hawaii.¹

There are presently two Executive branches in Hawaii. No other State has this distinction! OHA was set-up as a interim Executive branch for the reconstituted in exigency Kingdom of Hawai'i government. The IPGC has taken on the administrative function that OHA has not provided by creating the remedy and mechanism for the inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereign to expatriate from federal U.S. society and repatriate into Hawai'i(an) society.

INTERIM GOVERNMENT STRUCTURE EXECUTIVE BRANCH

PRIVY COUNCIL (i.e., original Komike')

Pro Tem Executive Minister

General Advocate Liaison

Minister of Finance

Minister of the Interior

COUNCIL OF STATE

(i.e., Kahai Kupuna Council, Voice of the People)

EMBASSIES—Foreign and Domestic

LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

PRO TEM LEGISLATURE (i.e., proxy representation)

HOUSE OF NOBLES (i.e., Senate)

HOUSE OF COMMONS (i.e. Election Commissioners, exigent injunctive resolves/Pro Tem Resolutions)

JUDICIAL BRANCH

PRO TEM JUDICIARY (i.e., cultural tribunals)

PRO TEM HAWAI'I SUPREME COURT TRIBUNAL (i.e., "Court of Common Pleas" and Writs of Exigency)

The **Interim Provisional Government Council** (IPGC) has been duly consummated to provide administrative remedy and relief for the inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereign as mandated by the following as evidence of international recognition for the restoration, rehabilitation and reconstruction of the constitutional Kingdom of Hawai'i.¹⁷

The **Interim Provisional Government Council** serves a temporary function and an opportunity for the many factions of the Hawai'i(an) sovereignty movement to work together to form a transitory government until such time the Constitutional Kingdom of Hawai'i is fully restored and operational. We the People don't have to give up anything to participate in this provisional government.

The following are *prima facie* evidence of such an imperative. These State and federal laws preclude the necessity for any additional enforcement of Hawai'i(an) Kingdom Session Laws. Sovereignty is indeed vested in the people, with unity and solidarity the order of the day.

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

Hawaiian Homes Commissions Act (amended 1920)

[Note: HHCA was passed on July 9, 1921, 42 Stat. 108; HHCA remains a Public Law although it no longer appears in the USC; See also Federal Breaches of the Hawaiian Home Lands Trust, Office of State Planning, Office of the Governor, State of Hawaii (April 1992); HHCA has over 12,000 acres of title claims for review.]

Hawaii Statehood Act

(March 18, 1959, Public Law 86-3, 73 Stat. 4)

[Note: Hawaii Supreme Court in *Ahuna v. DHHL*, 64 Haw. 327 (1982) concluded that the State of Hawaii assumed a fiduciary and legal obligation upon being declared admitted into the Union.]

state Preamble and Article XII

HRS 10-4, 10-4(3), (4), (6), HRS 10-5 (3), (4), (5), (7) (8)

Office of Hawaiian Affairs (1978)

State Session Laws on Hawai'ian Sovereignty

(L 1993, c 359, L 1994, c 200)

Public Law 103-150, 107 Stat. 1510 Supra (1993)

Article 1, Sec. (1) and (2) of the Constitution for the *de facto* State of Hawaii clearly stipulates and recognizes sovereign authority: "Sec. (1) All political power of this state is inherent in the people and the responsibility for the exercise therefore rests with the people. All government is founded on this authority. And; Sec. (2) All persons are free by nature and are equal in their inherent and inalienable rights."

.....

HAWAI'I(AN) SOVEREIGNTY MOVEMENT

The People's International Tribunal (August 12th-21st, 1993), was held on the Islands of Oahu, Maui, Kauai, Moloka'i and Hawai'i. Charges were formally addressed and presented by the inherent Hawai'i(an) Citizens, the *kanaka maoli*, against the corporate U.S. government and the State of Hawaii. Soon thereafter Public Law 103-150, supra was passed by an act of Congress.

There are many factions dividing the Hawai'i(an) sovereignty movement. Some are building castles in the air and writing constitutions in the clouds; those who want United Nations recognition for a "Nation of Hawai'i" before laying the foundations for their own individual sovereignty; those who want cultural sovereignty and reparations for the native Hawai'i(an) people, yet remain impaired U.S. citizens; those who want the "haoles" and foreigners to go home; those who want to claim divine rights to the throne and establish too many Kingdoms, Queendoms and Chiefdoms to count.

We the People are all Kings and Queens and there is little popular support for restoring a traditional Monarchy in Hawai'i nei. The Kingdom of Hawai'i was a constitutional republic before the overthrow in 1893, not a total Monarchy as many people still believe.

Pu'uhonua "Bumpy" Kanahale and the Nation of Hawai'i have claimed 64 acres on Oahu as Nation land. Bumpy was

incarcerated for 4 months for allegedly harboring a tax protester. Initially part of the **Ohana Council**, he had claimed to be the sovereign for the **Nation of Hawai'i**, a position which he soon changed preferring a smaller leadership role.

The **Nation of Hawai'i** wrote a preliminary Constitution in 1994, and presented it at Iolani Palace & White Sands Beach on January 22nd, 1995 to a mixed council of native Hawai'i(ans), Americans and Kupuna. This was another significant and historic time for the people of Hawai'i to unite and deepen the sovereignty movement in Hawai'i. This Constitution was written in the clouds of good intentions, and wasn't derived from the organic law of the **Kingdom of Hawai'i**. It also didn't represent the whole sovereignty movement in Hawai'i, nor was it based on the Law of Nations.

Although their intentions were good, this constitutional process has no basis in Common law or sovereignty based on the Law of Nations. It can though, be considered as a draft amongst many during the upcoming Constitutional Convention.

Despite **President Clinton** addressing "**Bumpy**" as the "Head of the Nation of Hawai'i," as a native American representing a nation within a nation (i.e., reservation), **Bumpy** was not nor could he in any sovereign capacity represent the **Kingdom of Hawai'i**. If **President Clinton's** correspondence was a tacit recognition, then the inherent Hawai'ian sovereign had best ask the question—why is this direction so amenable to the federal U.S. government? Is this precisely the direction they'd like Hawai'i to go—to become a "nation within a nation" like the indigenous North Americans on their reservations?

Regarding the sham charges against **Bumpy** and his eventual release from prison, the **Nation of Hawai'i** has not realized that **Bumpy** is an impaired U.S. citizen, entering an Article I court, asking for recognition of his sovereignty with a licensed bar attorney. That's the winning formula for losing a court battle: 1) impaired status; 2) wrong venue and jurisdiction for the recognition of the sovereign; and 3) transfer his power of attorney to a foreign agent of the established power structure.

Bumpy and the **Nation of Hawai'i** have, though, inspired many others to lay the foundation for the **Interim Provisional Government Council** to begin the work of restoring the constitutional **Kingdom of Hawai'i**. Much honor and blessings for their contribution.

Millilani Trask, Governor (kia'aina) & **Ka Lahui Hawai'i** have denied that any part of the *de facto* State of Hawaii or **Office of Hawaiian Affairs** (OHA) is legal or has any standing. Their focus has been on "cultural sovereignty," returning the land to the Hawai'ian people and encouraging the "haoles" and foreigners to go home. **Millilani Trask** when asked about her Citizenship, claims to be a native American and has retained her impaired U.S. citizenship. She is also a licensed bar attorney.

Like **Pu'uhonua "Bumpy" Kanahale**, **Millilani Trask** cannot be sovereign for the inherent Hawai'i(ans) without being sovereign unto herself first and foremost. **Ka Lahui**, with over 20,000 members, wants lands and financial restitution for native Hawai'i(ans) much like the "nation within a nation" status of the indigenous North Americans. And they are playing the "democ-

FEATURE ARTICLES

racism" game to obtain it. Democracies can only offer superficial sovereignty within the *de facto* international system, giving credence to the very power structure that committed the crimes against the Hawai'i(an) and other indigenous people in the first place.

The **Hawaiian Sovereignty Advisory Commission (HSAC)** was created through Act 359 (1993) and is in an advisory capacity to the State of Hawaii Governor's office. HSAC submitted legislation to *"acknowledge and recognize the unique status the indigenous Hawai'i(an) people bear to the State of Hawaii and to facilitate the efforts of indigenous Hawai'i(ans) to be governed by an indigenous sovereign nation of their own choosing."*

The State of Hawaii legislature responded by transposing HSAC into an "Elections" Commission to hold a plebiscite in September 1996 to determine if the indigenous Hawai'i(an) people wish to restore an independent nation. Sovereignty is not an issue that must be voted on. Majority rule does not determine the individual's choice to repatriate or not. *It's not up to majority rule or any plebiscite to determine your sovereignty. Sovereignty is not contingent upon "democracy."*

This plebiscite is not binding upon the State and is nothing more than a straw vote or a poll to determine where the Hawai'i(an) people stand with regards to the various sovereignty options. This is crucial information for the power structure to determine which way the sovereignty winds might blow so they can maintain control and the status quo.

This vote won't make any forward progress for Hawai'i(an) sovereignty. It will generate a lot of fanfare and divisiveness though, and will generate a sense of complacency amongst the people, satisfied that their government is taking care of them. Don't fall for it! The plebiscite is a death trap for the Hawai'i(an) sovereignty movement!

Ka Pakaukau, Pro-Kanaka Maoli Independence Working Group & the Tribunal Komike believe the elections council is tainted by its ties to the State of Hawaii and amounts to external interference into Hawai'i(an) efforts to reassert their inherent sovereignty. They are correct!

"Nothing arising out of the Hawaiian convention provided for in this Act, or any results of the ratification vote on proposals from the Hawaiian convention shall be applied or interpreted to supercede, conflict, waive, alter, or affect the constitution, charters, statutes, laws, rules, regulations, or ordinances of the State of Hawaii or its political subdivisions, including its respective departments, agencies, boards, and commissioners."

—Act 200, Section 14, H.B. # 3630

There are numerous Kings & Queens (e.g., Bumpy, Lorenzo, Kabuli, etc.) who have made claims to the monarchy. I have no information to determine what might or might not be a valid succession through blood lines. But it's essential to follow the *de jure* process established in the Constitution for the Kingdom of Hawai'i to name the successor.

Anyone can be a King or Queen unto themselves, an inherent sovereign individual willing to take political, economic and legal

responsibility for their own life, choosing to repatriate into a republican form of government already established, or creating another form of self-government. But you cannot presume to be a King or Queen over anyone else. That would be just another form of usurpation, and haven't we had enough?

It is important for the Hawai'i(an) sovereignty movement to understand that the Constitutional **Kingdom of Hawai'i** does not need recognition from the United Nations or the World Court to establish its sovereignty. A lawful, *de jure* government must first be established and recognized by its own sovereign people in a process of formal repatriation. Then international recognition and diplomatic relationships become an optional strength instead of a compelled weakness.

"Hawai'i has been dignified as a non-self-governing territory as enumerated in General Assembly Resolution 66 (I) of December, 14th, 1946 slated for decolonization through the United Nations Department of Political Affairs, Trusteeship and Decolonization, December 1985."—**Poka Laenui, Institute for the Advancement of Hawai'ian Affairs**

Poka Laenui has presumed that Hawai'i is a member of the United Nations, when it clearly is not at this time, nor would it be advantageous to join the league of bankrupt nations on their knees to the international bankers. The Constitutional Kingdom of Hawai'i can not only go all the way to complete independence but serve as a model for other sovereign nations in formation around the world.

.....

CRIMES AGAINST THE HAWAI'I(AN) PEOPLE

Due to Public Law 103-150, 107 Stat. 1510 Supra—1993, the federal United States government has legally and publically acknowledged the ramifications, restitutions and reparations now due and owing concerning the constructive fraud perpetrated upon the Hawai'i(an) people since the overthrow in 1893. The federal United States presented these facts to the general public as a legal notice published in a newspaper of general distribution on December 15th, 1993 by State appointed agents, and the **Sovereignty Advisory Commission**. The elected Board of Trustees of OHA participated in these events and thus have been duly noticed of the pertinent facts.

The Hawai'i(an) people can and must now act without hesitation upon that constructive legal notice by reclaiming their natural-born status as inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereigns. They now have the opportunity to repatriate via the **Interim Provisional Government Council (IPGC)** for the Kingdom of Hawai'i which is presently vested with the responsibility to fulfill the obligation to acknowledge, recognize, provide and support the proper foundation for reconciliation under the Law of Nations.

It is necessary for the IPGC to call the *de jure* elected Legislature into session to fully reinstate the Judicial branch, and to re-establish a *de jure* Executive branch and independent Kingdom Treasury. It is essential that the Hawai'i(an) people participate fully in inhabiting their lawful, constitutional government and

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

reclaim their status as inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereigns.

During and after the invasion, Queen Lili'uokalani had solemnly protested and yielded to the superior military force of the United States, not to the defacto government. She did so until such time as the government of the United States shall, upon facts being presented to it, undo the action of its representatives and reinstate her authority. Public Law 103-150, 107 Stat. 1510, has in effect restored Queen Lili'uokalani and her heirs as the Constitutional Sovereign of the Hawai'ian Islands.

The Monarchy was overthrown and vacant until the Constitutional Kingdom of Hawai'i is reinhabited by inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereigns. Any individual or individuals claiming such title, authority and power without following the procedures mandated by the Law of Nations are *de facto* and properly considered usurpers themselves.

*No title or claim of dominion was extended to the usurpers under the Law of Nations. The de facto Provisional government formed by the usurpers without the consent of the Hawai'i(an) people or the lawful government for the Kingdom of Hawai'i was a clear violation of treaties between the two nations and of international law. Public Law 103-150, 107 Stat. 1510, 1513 confesses, acknowledges and apologizes for these crimes. A treaty is void if procured by the threat or use of force in violations of the principles of international law *The illegal overthrow of the Hawai'i(an) Kingdom (Jan. 17, 1893) resulted in the suppression, not the destruction, of the inherent sovereignty of the Hawai'i(an) people.**

No sovereign dominion ever accrued to nor was extended to the usurpers, nor their heirs and assigns, and were destined by their unlawful acts and omissions to remain *de facto* forever, the Admissions Act notwithstanding. The continual suppression, abrogation and oppression of the sovereign people of Hawai'i is also a direct violation of the "International Covenant on Political and Civil Rights" of which the United States is a signatory (Article I, Section 1), and the "United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples" (ILO Convention 169).

No other Nations, States or International Organizations are allowed to interfere with the reinstitution of the Constitutional government for the Kingdom of Hawai'i. This includes the United Nations and its organizations bound by the UN Charter, Article II, Section 7 which prohibits such acts. These *de facto* international laws support the rights of self-determination and self-government for the Hawai'i(an) people.



"In classical international law, intervention is a dictatorial interference by a State in the affairs of another State for the purpose of maintaining or altering the actual condition of things. Such intervention can take place by right or without a right, but it always concerns the external independence or the territorial or personal supremacy of the State concerned, and the whole matter is therefore of great importance for the international position of States. That intervention is, as a rule, forbidden by international law, which protects the international personality of the States, there is no doubt."

—Lassa Oppenheim

.....

EXCERPTS FROM THE LEGAL OPINIONS OF FRANCIS A. BOYLE

The State of Hawaii through HSAC paid international attorney, professor and author **Francis A. Boyle**, for a legal opinion on the ramifications of Public Law 103-150, 107 Stat. supra. His credentials include a Ph.D. from Harvard University and having assisted the Palestinian people in the declaration and development of their independent nation state. He is currently defending Bosnia-Herzegovina in the International Court of Justice. On December 28, 1993, he provided his interpretation on the aforementioned Public Law:

"The USA is admitting that the invasion, overthrow, occupation, annexation, starting in 1893, violated all the treaties, violated basic norms of international law, and the U.S. Constitution...the overthrow of a lawful government...Under international law [and the Law of Nations] when you have a violation of treaties of this magnitude, the World Court has ruled that the only appropriate remedy is restitution."

"Now, the United States government, after 100 years, has finally and officially conceded, as a matter of United States law, that native Hawai'i(an) people [inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereigns] have the right to restore the independent nation state that you had in 1893 when the United States government came and destroyed it [it was suppressed not destroyed]. And also, as a matter of international law, the native Hawai'i(an) people have the right to go out now and certainly proclaim the restoration of that state...this resolution clears up all these matters...You don't need to petition Congress to do it. Congress has given you everything you need right here to do it, if that's what you want to do [Note: it's up to the inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereign to act]. The United Nations Charter provides the rest of the authority to do it." [Note: the United Nations doesn't have any such authority, although the Law of Nations, Constitution for the united states of America, the Constitution for the Kingdom of Hawai'i and the organic law does.]

"Congress is effectively conceding now that the [Statehood of Hawaii] vote is meaningless, as a matter of international law and United States domestic law. So you're not bound by it. Rather, I'm suggesting you're now free to determine your own fate pursuant to

FEATURE ARTICLES

the principal of self-determination.”

“The State of Hawaii, the federal government, are....the civilian arms of the military occupation authority, and...do not have sovereign powers. The sovereign resides in the people.”

“Who’s land is it? Well, from what Congress seems to be saying, the land belongs to the native Hawai’i(ans). The native Hawai’i(an) people (i.e., inherent Hawai’i(an) sovereign) still have sovereignty...You can’t trespass on your own land. The trespassers then become the State of Hawaii, and the land developers, and the golf courses, and the resorts. You are simply the native Hawai’i(ans) asserting your rights under international law...this reversal of positions, between who is the criminal and who is the victim, who is asserting their rights, and who is violating their rights, has been effectively conceded by Congress.”

“These are official findings of fact and law, by the Congress of the United States. These findings bind all State and federal courts here in Hawaii.”

“As a litigator before the international Court of Justice, I would be able to take this law to the World Court [Note: This is not the correct venue at this time], and say, The United States government has now officially conceded that it illegally invaded and occupied the [constitutional government of the] **Kingdom of Hawai’i** and for this reason the native people of Hawai’i would be entitled to a restoration of their independence status as a sovereign nation state.”

“I could not predict how long this would take, what would be the consequences, how many states will recognize you, but I take it that the plight of the Hawai’i(an) people is generally well known in the world, and there’s a great deal of sympathy...it might be that you would be able to obtain recognition quickly. And especially if you pursue this process in accordance with principals of peaceful, non-violent struggle [Note: and lawful processes of constitutional government]. And I submit that’s the most effective technique you have today...**Gandhi** threw the mighty British Empire out of India without using force.”

“I would certainly caution you against trying to seek the same type of treatment that the federal government has doled out to the native Americans. Moreover, on the basis of this statute, [i.e., confession and apology] you are entitled to a lot more...”

“...An independent sovereign nation state is one way a people who are threatened with extermination by means of genocide can attempt to protect themselves...What is the best way to protect the existence of your people?...to proclaim your own state, [to restore the inherent sovereignty of the people] and then ultimately seek international recognition and finally United Nations membership...”

Francis A. Boyle presumes that the United Nations and the World Court is the vehicle for establishing and recognizing sovereignty. This is where Mr. Boyle and I fundamentally disagree, as does the **Interim Provisional Government Council**. Many of his observations and conclusions are completely consistent and accurate with regards to our strategic planning for Hawai’i(an) sovereignty.

However, the majority of United Nations' member states, and the Security Council, are bankrupt and subject to the whims of their creditors, the international bankers and power brokers. It will not

suffice for the newly consummated constitutional **Kingdom of Hawai’i** to expect UN recognition to cause it to mature into a fully functioning, healthy sovereign state.

Replacing one *de facto* government, the federal United States, with another, the international bankers and the United Nations will never do! Mr. Boyle is too close to the internationalists, the World Court and the United Nations for comfort. The **Kingdom of Hawai’i** would do better to align itself with all the unaligned and unrepresented nations of the world, including indigenous peoples worldwide, that prefer being free and independent states, free of internationalist and foreign control, manipulation and ownership. The **Kingdom of Hawai’i** could be a beacon in the night leading the way for quite “Another World Order” to emerge—a network of free, independent and sovereign states.

According to Francis A. Boyle, the criteria for re-establishing the Constitutional **Kingdom of Hawai’i** include: 1) a fixed territory (clearly the Hawai’ian Archipelago meets this criteria), 2) a distinguishable population (those tracing their ancestry back before the appearance of Europeans on these lands), 3) government (i.e., the Kupuna Council and the pre-existing Constitutional Kingdom of Hawai’i; 4) capacity to enter into international relations (through diplomatic channels and embassys). *The only piece of the puzzle Mr. Boyle seems to be blatantly missing or avoiding is the issue of sovereignty. This is a blind spot the Hawai’i(an) people must remedy!*

.....

RECLAIMING INHERENT HAWAI’I(AN) SOVEREIGNTY

To reclaim inherent Hawai’i(an) sovereign status involves, first and foremost, expatriation from the resident (i.e., State of Hawaii), corporate U.S. citizenship of the federal United States government by revoking all adhesion contracts and converting any and all benefits from the occupying federal United States government into transitory restitutions and reparations.

This is a peremptory process comparable for all U.S. citizens whether American or Hawai’i(an). Adhesion contracts such as Social Security Numbers (SSN’s), Driver’s Licenses, Vehicle Registrations, Marriage Licenses, Business Licenses, Incorporation Papers, and other permits must be revoked *ab initio*. You cannot be half-sovereign, or half-pregnant, although transition is likely in the birth process from inception to birth, from the *de facto* to the *de jure*. You cannot, though, have your body in both worlds.

You must make a choice. You are either an American National (sovereign in the 48 states) and denizen in Hawai’i(an) society, Hawai’ian (inherent sovereign and Citizen of the Kingdom of Hawai’i) or U.S. citizen (property of the federal government) residing in both the United States and Hawaii. Any foreigner from any nation can either reside in the Kingdom of Hawai’i as a denizen or repatriate as a Citizen and have their inherent sovereignty recognized by the Kingdom of Hawai’i. The choice is yours!

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

U.S. citizenship is an impaired status that must be remedied for American Nationals and the inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereign. A U.S. citizen is not a citizen at all, but a contractual relationship with the *de facto* federal United States government and the *de facto* State of Hawaii to receive privileges or benefits. As a U.S. citizen you are the property of the government and they have the statutory right to regulate, control, and tax you. U.S. citizenship is a voluntary adhesion contract and obligates you to pay the debt of the government. Thus your status and your property are impaired.

Once they have made a choice and cleaned these adhesions, inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereigns can reclaim their sovereignty and repatriate into the **Kingdom of Hawai'i**. This is done through formal declaration by affidavit and/or quiet title in the Hawai'i Supreme Court Tribunal. Sovereignty must be reclaimed and the constitutional government of the **Kingdom of Hawai'i** restored for the Trust Beneficiaries, pursuant to the Queen's "yielding in trust," January 17th, 1893.

Since Public Law 103-150, all adhesion contracts (e.g., Social Security Numbers) have been clouded for the inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereign who chooses to repatriate into the Kingdom of Hawai'i, while the State and federal governments become essentially consuls and embassies for U.S. citizens.

I'd advise an enforcement moratorium on any adhesion contracts with the Hawai'i(an) people until such time as the liens are perfected against the usurpers, and the new inherent government re-established. Hawai'i(ans) still receiving "benefits" from the federal government can consider these payments reparations and restitutions, not benefits, until such time that the Constitutional **Kingdom of Hawai'i** has been restored as the true Trustees of OHA (i.e., the Executive branch for the Kingdom of Hawai'i) on behalf of the beneficiaries. The value of the Trust divided by the population would be significant per capita (prior to 1893) if distributed to the Beneficiaries.

It is the unalienable right of the inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereign to reconstruct and consummate a Constitutional and lawful government for the **Kingdom of Hawai'i** and repatriate such a nation. This process is already available for the Hawai'i(an) people. It is the responsibility of the usurper to recognize, provide a proper foundation for reconciliation and support such efforts of rehabilitation. This has already been accomplished at law.

The Hawai'i(an) people have a strategic advantage in that the sense of family (i.e., oha'na), clan and tribe are still strong in the islands, and that consensus can be accomplished more readily than in more isolated and alienated cultures. But a consensus of action must be accomplished and a direction taken in unison. If the Hawai'i(an) sovereignty movement cannot agree on a strategic plan for action then the window of opportunity may close quickly and the usurpers will win another round in a long battle by default. Wake up Hawai'i!

Even if the federal United States and the State of Hawaii withdraw their presence from the Hawai'i(an) islands tomorrow, the New World Order is still capable of occupying these islands through political, economic and legal means. That is their ultimate objective, to destroy nation states and the sovereignty of the people and occupy them all with the same international structures (e.g., International Monetary Fund, World Bank and United Na-

tions).

All forms of tyranny must be sent packing or the job of restoring sovereignty for the Hawai'i(an) people will have to be done another day. The New World Order can play the sovereignty card both ways and win. Whether the **Kingdom of Hawai'i** reclaims its sovereignty or not, the international banking and United Nations system will still be in place, unless the Hawai'i(an) and American sovereigns are wiser and smarter than they are (e.g., Quebec is an example of how the New World Order gang can play the sovereignty card both ways and win). *True sovereignty depends on restoring political, economic and lawful independence as a whole system united through consensus and communications.*

.....

STRATEGIC PLAN FOR THE INHERENT HAWAI'I(AN) SOVEREIGN

Once inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereigns formally reclaim their sovereignty via a formal process of repatriation facilitated by the **Interim Provisional Government Council**, and the Constitutional **Kingdom of Hawai'i** is reinhabited by Hawai'ian sovereigns, many other political, economic and legal considerations must be made to complete the road to independence.

A sustainable economic system must be created backed on the substance of gold/silver, a breadbasket of commodities, local scrips and/or solar energy. The people of Hawai'i must once again become producers, not mere consumers, of goods and services that are needed and wanted in the community. There is plenty of work that needs to be done. There is no lack of jobs, just a lack of imagination on how to organize systems to accommodate the production of goods and services that fulfill all basic human needs. This is the healthy basis for all sustainable economic systems. This is absolutely essential and must not be pushed aside.

It will not suffice for the Kingdom of Hawai'i to become a "nation within a nation," and to remain dependent upon the economic and "money" system that has been installed ultimately to control and bankrupt not only the Hawai'ians, but all the peoples of the world. We the People of Hawai'i must get off our knees to the international bankers and stand on our own two feet.

It is an insufficient remedy to petition for restitution payable in Federal Reserve Notes (FRN's), as the debt-currency systems of the world are on the brink of total collapse and are not a viable basis for restoring a sustainable economic system for any nation whatsoever. Those advocating such a position for the **Kingdom of Hawai'i** are blind or ignorant of the bigger political and economic picture. Or their own political self-interest has gotten in the way of the best interests of the Hawai'i(an) people.

Commercial and Common law liens must be perfected on behalf of the Hawai'i(an) people for both negotiable and non-negotiable instruments. The international bankers, transnational corporations, federal government, State of Hawaii, resorts, golf courses and other forces of economic occupation that have trampled the rights and dignities of the Hawai'i(an) people must be liened to the

FEATURE ARTICLES

full value of their assets in the Hawai'i(an) Islands as repayment for the usurpation of these lands and the Hawai'i(an) people.

The perfected liens can then be used as a bargaining/negotiating chip for restoring the lawful Treasury for the **Kingdom of Hawai'i** based on gold/silver, redistributing the wealth and land to the people, and revitalizing a sustainable economy with diverse global interests. Perfected "Bills of Exchange" can be monetized on the international market and commercial banks chartered via the **Kingdom of Hawai'i** to fund the economy.

The Hawai'i(an) people will be encouraged to deposit their equity into their own bank and become its primary stockholders. This will be the basis for economic recovery and expansion. This same strategy applies to other indigenous nations that have been usurped by foreign powers.

Economic policies will facilitate the development of sustainable economic systems backed by a gold/silver standard. Taiwan, China, Isle of Man, Guernsey and others are a few examples of debt-free, independent nations not subject to the IMF and their crippling economic policies. *Economic policies will include less taxes and regulations for businesses choosing to reside in the Kingdom of Hawai'i under its reconstituted government as well as low-interest loan packages to encourage economic development in organic agriculture, commercial hemp and bamboo, natural fiber composites, communications and environmental technologies.*

Hawai'i is capable of complete agricultural and energy independence and self-sufficiency. Hawai'i will become the hotbed of innovation and fertile ground for entrepreneurs to launch their business ideas.

The **Interim Provisional Government Council** has commissioned and reaffirmed the coining of gold/silver Hawai'i(an) coins based on the good faith and credit of the **Kingdom of Hawai'i**. The Kingdom Treasury has been reestablished with a deposit of silver coin. "Silver certificates" are presently being issued to pay the expenses of the pro tem government. *Identity packages are being designed to provide "positive identification," registration and plates from the Kingdom of Hawai'i for those who have repatriated into the Kingdom. Eventually passports, currency, scrip and commemorative stamps will be issued.*

All the land titles in the Hawai'i(an) Islands have been clouded since Public Law 103-150, and must be settled diplomatically by the reconstituted government during the reconstruction period. Everyone who has a claim will be fairly considered, although denizens and foreign residents will have limited rights compared to the inherent Hawai'ian sovereign and the repatriated Citizen for the Kingdom of Hawai'i.

All private land in the Hawai'i(an) Islands is already recognizable as accessible to the Hawai'i(an) people via the *de facto* Hawai'i Supreme Court. The Kingdom of Hawai'i still holds the absolute titles in Trust on behalf of all the Hawai'ian people. No individual with a legal or lawful claim to land in Hawai'i will ever be dispossessed. Even those with clouded titles will not be dispossessed, providing that no one benefits at the expense of another.

We are not advising the "nationalization" of land to the government, as that socialist concept is primarily used to collateralize land on behalf of the international bankers. Instead we are advising

that fee simple title to lands be fully restored to the Hawai'i(an) people. Ownership of land was never the issue in Hawai'i prior to the arrival of foreigners. Possession and utilization is the key, not the buying/selling and speculation of land for profit.

We are advising people to update their land grants/patents whether Hawai'i(an) or United States in origin until such time as the issue of titles is handled by the Hawai'i Supreme Court Tribunal for the Kingdom of Hawai'i. Foreigners, including Americans and the Japanese, may find they can not hold absolute title to land in the Kingdom of Hawai'i, although they could lease or rent from their new stewards and caretakers.

.....

RESTORING A SUSTAINABLE ECONOMIC SYSTEM

A lot of lip service has been paid to developing sustainable economic and environmental systems without addressing the fundamental issues and concerns that prevent any sustainability from manifesting. There are hard questions that must be asked before any real "sustainability" can manifest.

Who is in control of the system? Who is accountable and responsible? Who owns it? Who has rights? What rights do Citizens have? Who decides? Who are the sovereign powers behind the system? Are the Citizens the sovereigns? What is sustainable anyway? How can you sustain a dysfunctional economic system? Why would we want to? What are the principles of sustainability?

*"Being broke is a state of finance.
Being poor is a state of mind."*

—Boston T. Party

Sustainable economics from the vantage point of the existing Power structure implies retaining it for their exclusive control and use under the New World Order without regard for the environment or property rights. Sustainable economics from the environmental perspective implies protecting the land itself for the control and use of the environmentalists through expanded government regulation at the expense of private property ownership, local economics and personal freedom. Sustainable economics from the private property owner implies that one can do anything on the land without concern for the environment, for your neighbors, or the long-term viability of the property for future generations.

Combining elements of free enterprise capitalism and collective socialism along with sustainable environmental principles might be a workable option if all the people were sovereigns, not a small elite of old aristocracy who own, control and manipulate the entire system for their personal benefit. This is the danger inherent in the present New World Order and its plan for our future. In order for global populism to arise, personal responsibility and cross-cultural tolerance must take root as fundamental and universal values. What are the principles of personal responsibility? What universal moral or ethic basis must arise for the evolution of a global culture?

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

*"Our Constitution was made only for
a moral and religious people.
It is wholly inadequate to the government
of any other kind."—John Adams*

The international bankers, transnational corporations, federal government, and other forces of economic occupation must be liened commercially and under the Common law to the full value of their equity in land and property held in the united states of America as restitution for the unlawful occupation and usurpation of these lands and the American people. Restitution must also be made to the indigenous North Americans whose land was stolen and cultures destroyed and diplomatic relations established between all the sovereign nations.

Commercial and Common law liens must be perfected for both negotiable and non-negotiable instruments. Security forces or sufficient international pressure may be required to collect on these liens. The liens, if uncollectible, can be discounted and sold to brokers who can offer them on the international market. Common law liens will be deposited in a provisional usA Treasury or Sovereign Trust. Commercial liens can be deposited in an Independent Bank chartered by the restored government of the constitutional Republic of the usA. The inherent American people will be encouraged to deposit their equity into this bank and become its primary stockholders. This will be the basis for economic recovery and a redistribution of wealth. Economic policies will be developed for facilitating the development of a sustainable economic system backed on gold/silver standard (e.g., Taiwan, China, Isle of Man, Guernsey and others are examples of debt-free, independent nations not subject to the IMF and their economic policies).

EMBASSIES & DIPLOMACY

International law has developed two tests of recognition, the objective test of *de facto* character and the subjective test of willingness to fulfill obligations. The constitutional Kingdom of Hawai'i is presently in a qualified or provisional state of recognition under the Law of Nations. Every sovereign state has the right to send and receive public ministers. It is those powers which have the right of embassy. Special license plates can be created for a consul or official ambassador of foreign or territorial government (HRS §186-56.5).

"Consequently, since embassies are of such great importance in the universal society of Nations, and are so necessary to their common welfare, the person of a public minister is sacred and inviolable among all Nations. Whoever does violence to an ambassador or to any other public minister not only does an injury to the sovereign he represents, but he attacks the common safety and welfare of all Nations and renders himself guilty of a grievous crime against all Nations.—Emer De Vattel

Some of the United States laws defining foreign governments

and international organizations are as follows:

Title 18, USCS 1116 (b)(2) "Foreign government" means the government of a foreign country (jurisdictionally), irrespective of recognition by the United States [Note: IPGC]

Title 18, USCS 1116 (b)(4)(B) "Internationally protected person means...any other representative, officer, employee, or agent of the United States Government, a foreign government or an international organization who at the time and place concerned is entitled pursuant to international law to special protection against attack upon his person, freedom, or dignity and any member of his family..." [Note: get diplomatic immunity in the usA for all American sovereign Citizens]

Title 18, USCS 112 "Protection of foreign official guests, and internationally protected persons"

Title 18, USCS 112 (f) "In the course of enforcement...the Attorney General may request assistance from any Federal, State, or local agency,...any statute, rule, or regulation to the contrary"

Title 22, USCS 288a "Privileges, exemptions and immunities of international organizations,"

Title 22, USCS 288 a. (b) "International Organizations, their property and their assets,... shall enjoy the same immunity from suit and every form of judicial process as enjoyed by foreign governments";

Title 28, USCS 1604 "Immunity of a foreign state from jurisdiction...a foreign state shall be immune from the jurisdiction of the courts of the United States and of the States".

STRATEGIC ALLIANCE WITH THE AMERICAN SOVEREIGN

Americans born in Hawai'i are U.S. citizens until such time as they choose to repatriate in one of the 48 states of the Union, reside as a denizen (i.e., foreigner) in Hawai'ian or American society, or become a Citizen of the Kingdom of Hawai'i. American sovereigns born in the usA and residing in Hawai'i cannot reclaim their state Citizenship, as the State of Hawaii is not and never was a sovereign state of the Union.

American sovereigns born in the usA can reclaim their American National status within the 48 sovereign states of the Union and then reside or repatriate in the Kingdom of Hawai'i. Last two stars on, first two stars off (e.g., Hawaii and Alaska)! There were never 50 sovereign American states of the Union, only 48 (and that's debatable). For the American in the Kingdom of Hawai'i to continue that fraud can only impair the sovereignty of the other 48 states.

FEATURE ARTICLES

The **Interim Provisional Government Council (IGPC)** for the Kingdom of Hawai'i will recognize the American sovereign in Hawai'i, and can facilitate the formal process of repatriation for both the inherent Hawai'i(an) and American sovereign. It would behoove the inherent American sovereign to acknowledge the State of Hawaii in its *de facto* capacity only and stop denying the inherent Hawai'i(an) their true sovereign status. The strategic possibilities between the American sovereigns and the inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereigns are immense and advantageous to both Americans and Hawai'i(ans). Developing this strategic alliance and diplomatic relationships with all sympathetic sovereign nations for mutual recognition and support is essential to the success of all concerned.

The American Constitutional Common law courts that have been erroneously consummated in the de facto State of Hawaii must recognize the distinction of venue and jurisdiction between the Hawai'i(an) and American societies. The American Common law courts are a foreign venue in the Kingdom of Hawai'i and cannot properly repatriate or quiet title Americans, status or property, into the united states of America. The only way the American Common law court can function in the Kingdom of Hawai'i is by embassy, properly and formally recognized by the Kingdom of Hawai'i. The American Common law courts cannot under any circumstances repatriate or quiet title Hawai'i(ans) or Americans into the Kingdom of Hawai'i!

It is the exclusive venue and jurisdiction of the Common law Hawai'i Supreme Court Tribunal for the Kingdom of Hawai'i to acknowledge and recognize both the Hawai'i(an) and American sovereigns. A clear and respectful distinction between these venues empowers both and re-establishes diplomatic relationships and formal embassy between the two sovereign nations.

I implore the Americans and the Hawai'i(ans) to respect this distinction and mutually support the Kingdom of Hawai'i and the usA as independent nations. Embassy can be created between any nation with foreigners in the Kingdom of Hawai'i. All American-based Common law courts are the seat of embassy in the Kingdom of Hawai'i duly acknowledged by the Hawai'i Supreme Court Tribunal which can properly address all courts seeking summary judgment and a Writ of Mandamus to all inferior lower courts.²⁶

Many Americans are awakening today to the corruption and abuses of their own federal United States government, which is occupying and controlling the free and independent states of the united states of America. The American sovereigns and the free and independent states of the Union began losing their sovereignty after the Civil War and have been continually until the present. The usA is at the frontline of the New World Order's continued assault upon the sovereignty of all the nations and peoples of the world because we have set a precedent and model for individual sovereignty around the world.

Our federal United States government has waged a 130-year assault upon the Constitution for the usA and the republican form of government created over 220 years ago. This is the same government that suppressed the Constitution for the **Kingdom of Hawai'i** and annexed the Hawai'i(an) Islands. But the federal United States government has neither acknowledged nor apolo-

gized for its continued assault upon its own inherent sovereign American people as it recently has for the Hawai'i(an) people. Our federal United States government formally declared war on We the People in 1933 by amending the **Trading With the Enemies Act of 1917**.

The Constitution for the usA, which is supposed to limit the federal government, has been suspended indefinitely through the **Emergency & War Powers Acts**. We must lift the Emergency & War Powers Acts and restore our republican roots. We the People must re-consummate a *de jure* government by returning to the organic law that preceeded the creation of the federal government and rebuilding the foundation for a renewed republican form of government. The Constitution for the **Kingdom of Hawai'i** was suppressed, not suspended. The **Kingdom of Hawai'i** merely needs to be reinhabited by the inherent Hawai'i(an) sovereign.

The American sovereign is without a homeland unless and until constitutional government is restored in the usA. The principles of self-government still exist in the organic law, the public laws, statutes and case laws of over 200 years of American law. But it requires the inherent American sovereign to reinhabit the system once it is reconstituted. Without inherent American sovereigns formally declaring and reinhabiting a republican form of government, there can be no sovereign states, counties, townships, constitutional Common law courts or grand juries. The republican form of government must be reconstituted from the bottom-up, not from the top-down.

.....

INDIGENOUS SOVEREIGNS OF NORTH AMERICA

In reconsummating a de jure government, the American sovereign must also consider the claims and restitutions due the inherent indigenous sovereigns of North America whose lands, rights and cultures have been systematically decimated over the last three hundred years. The American sovereign must honor and respect the indigenous sovereigns, and develop diplomatic relations with them during a period of reconstruction and restitution.

New nations of indigenous sovereigns will be created in North America and around the world with the support and assistance of those most experienced in sovereignty issues and concerns. *Either we're all going to be sovereigns and respect each others lands, rights and cultures, or we're all going to be slaves in the New World Order.*

Like the Hawai'i(an) people, and many others around the world, the inherent indigenous sovereigns of North America never lost their sovereignty. Their sovereignty was not lost but suppressed, and in some cases destroyed, through genocide [Note: the Constitution for the usA refers to Indians not taxed=Sovereigns not taxed].

Still, economic activities on the reservations including casino gambling operations are not subject to taxation, although a desperate and bankrupt United States government and its political subdivisions have considered using National Guard troops to forceably

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

collect taxes on the reservations (e.g., Mohawks). The **Onondaga Tribe** in New York has declared its independence from the United States and refuses all federal money. This is further evidence that the sovereignty was not annihilated, although it is still under constant attack.

The process of reclaiming sovereignty is similar for the indigenous sovereigns of North America, except that there was no recognized constitutional government in place. There were chiefs, councils and other forms of self-government though, many of which have been functioning for thousands of years. Such can be remedied by consummating governments or councils modeled after constitutions like the **Iroquois Confederacy**. The laws and principles of sovereignty are universal and arise from the natural and organic **Laws of Nations**. It is these laws that must be further developed to meet the challenges of a modern colonial world still on a collision course with the traditional cultures.

But the indigenous peoples are beginning to effectively organize and fight back against this historical encroachment. Soon they will have the upper hand as the colonial powers have systemically bankrupted themselves, politically, economically and morally. Strategic alliances and diplomatic relationships must be consummated between all the sovereign nations of the world and quite "another world order" created from the ashes of the old, dying system.

.....

ALO'HA OF THE HAWAI'IAN PEOPLE

The Hawai'i(an) people have long been recognized as a peaceful and generous people. It's here that the "alo'ha" spirit (Note: alo'ha means face of the spirit) has long been the envy of the world. You cannot visit and leave these beautiful islands of paradise untouched in heart and soul. Hawai'i is a favorite destination not only for its natural beauty, remote location and warm ocean waters, but because the Hawai'i(an) people have welcomed foreigners (i.e., denizens) and innovative ideas to their lands for generations, even to their own demise.

Some of the first Americans in Hawai'i sincerely wanted to share the principles behind their Constitution with the Hawai'i(an) people (Note: to proselytize their religions and make money as well), so much so that the Kingdom of Hawai'i modeled their Constitutions after the USA. Today, We the People of the united states of America are reestablishing diplomatic relationships with the **Kingdom of Hawai'i** and restoring a Republic.

The **Kingdom of Hawai'i** will be a safe haven for the recognition of the American sovereign rights and dignities until such time as the constitutional Republic of the USA is restored. The **Kingdom of Hawai'i** will be a situs for establishing Common law trusts, offshore banking, entrepreneurial and small business enterprises, as well as international recognition for the American National. The differences between the American and the Hawai'i(an)—lands, culture, and language, is bridged by having a similar foundation in sovereignty and a common form of government.

It is essential that we learn from each other's histories and not repeat the mistakes of both extinct indigenous people and age-old empires. We are at the crossroads of a new millenium and the historical imperatives and momentum of the past's "manifest destiny" are forever changed.

Herein lies our greatest challenge as We the People race into an insecure and possibly wonderful future—that the modern despotic world is unprepared to meet its past and unwilling to make the necessary accomodations to those cultures and peoples that have been victimized by "civilization."

To the extent the modern bankrupt and tyrannical world refuses to adapt to this other world order emerging, it shall, guaranteed by every prophesy of the ancients, hastily meet its maker and return yet to the dust from whence it came. Then We the People shall once again build and discover a New World.

[These feature articles were excerpted from the *Sovereign Hawai'i(ans) Handbook* by Johnny Liberty, published by the Cascadian Resource Center and is available for \$33 postpaid.]

.....

WISDOM OF A QUEEN

*"I could not turn back the time
for the political change,
but there is still time
to save our heritage.
You must remember
never to cease to act
because you fear you may fail.
The way to lose any earthly kingdom
is to be inflexible, intolerant,
and prejudicial.
Another way is to be too flexible,
tolerant of too many wrongs and
without judgment at all.
It is a razor's edge.
It is the width of a blade of pili grass.
To gain the kingdom of heaven is to
hear what is not said,
to see what cannot be seen,
and to know the unknowable—
that is Alo'ha.
All things in this world are two;
in heaven there is but One."
—Lili'uokalani, 1917*

Special Reports

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE Schweitzer & the Freeman in Montana

(continued from p.1)

American people. This is a war of words and deception. The truth is always the first casualty in war, and the government declared war on its own people way back in 1917 with the Trading With the Enemies Act.

Under a state of perpetual national emergency, because the federal and State governments are bankrupt, the "freemen's" home is now a "compound." They have their own "laws." They are typified as anti-government for daring to execute the laws of the land. Being a constitutionalist or "free" is now considered dangerous or criminal in America?

If laws have been broken and "fraudulent checks" written, then bring forth evidence and the pertinent laws before a constitutional court of competent jurisdiction to determine the outcome by a jury. If a crime has been committed, then a grand jury must bring forth the charges. Regardless of their personal views or opinions, every man or woman has unalienable rights to a fair trial in America.

An accusation or indictment is not a conviction in the united states of America, only in a tribunal under a military dictatorship. This is how our statutory courts operate under the emergency and war powers acts. Under the laws of our land and international conventions, you cannot be punished before trial or treated inhumanely. Not even prisoners of war are treated as badly as our reports indicate from the Montana and Missouri federal gulags.

There are reports from Schweitzer's relatives and an Affidavit from Brandie Schweitzer, Leroy's daughter, that he is being grossly mistreated in jail. "He was taken to the Yellowstone County Detention Center in Billings, Montana. Beginning that same evening through Wednesday the 27th, I heard repeated reports of my dad's mistreatment. By 'mistreatment,' I mean he was being beaten...On Friday the 29th...we learned that he was not just beaten, but rather tortured...He described in detail how the federal marshalls put shackles around his ankles and wrists so tightly that he bled. He said they strapped him in a chair and kicked him in the legs, hit him in the face, grabbed him by his hair and yanked his head around,

pushed in on his ears with their fingers until he almost passed out, and pressed on the backs of his finger nails. They turned down the heat in his cell, took his blanket and his mattress and left him in only his t-shirt and pants."

This is a shivering reminder of our own inhumanity to each other. Schweitzer has refused food and water for fear of being drugged, demanding a grand jury trial. Because he refused food and water, he was taken to the U.S. Medical Center in Springfield, Missouri for "evaluation" on Friday the 29th of March. Brandie Schweitzer and her three brothers visited him on April 3rd. He had an N-G tube in his nose that they used to force feed him. "Dad walked slowly over to a group of chairs...He said hello in a faint, slow voice...He seemed very tired. His eyes were red...He told us about the tube in his nose."

"He explained that he is x-rayed every time they put one in. It goes up his nose and down his throat. It is extremely painful and it hurt him a great deal to talk to us. He said they told him if he pulls it out, they'll put a bigger one in...He told us he couldn't believe how corrupt and satanic the system is."

"Then he held out his arms in a gesture to look at what they were willing to do to a person who threatens their evil ways. It was a sight enough to make you sick to your stomach. He told us of some of the threats he'd received, such as if he didn't do as he was told, they'd start breaking bones. He said he was visited by a young doctor from New York whose threat was if Dad ever did get released, they could always shoot him up full of cancer first...He had bruises on the inside of his elbow...He didn't know what kind of drugs they were using, but he could smell them when they put them through the tube in his nose."

What the government will not do in broad daylight, it is accomplishing behind the closed doors of the jail. They are trying to break this man's spirit! This is wrong and must not go unnoticed by the media and the American people. The patriot community and the militia groups must unite behind Leroy Schweitzer and the others who are being targeted for political persecution because of their knowledge of the law and courage to execute it without hesitation.

The outcome of these events will determine the future of America and the world. Do not turn your head aside and ignore what is going on! If they can come and get any one of us for simply being learned in the law

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

and exercising our rights, then none of us will ever be free. In the words of Benjamin Franklin, "If we don't hang together, certainly we will hang separately."

The intimidation and torture that America has taught third world dictators for decades has now come home to roost in our own jails for our own political prisoners. In America 80% of the prison population are political prisoners not Common law criminals. If you wish to know the heart of a nation, look at its jails. This is nothing new. America, you have lost your heart and soul. America, you have been murdering your leaders since John F. Kennedy and Martin Luther King. Leroy Schwietzer and Daniel Peterson are political prisoners being persecuted for their courage to live free in America. Have you forgotten what country you live in? Is this the land of the free or the clone of some ugly communist dictatorship? The media has twisted the truth into a pretzel and the American people must awaken to the truth. If this can happen to them, it can happen to any of us.

A camp has been set up at the Kiwanis Campground in Lewistown, Montana for supporters and independent investigators to witness the events as they emerge. Eleven militias are on active alert and will remain off the scene unless the government resorts to a military action against the remaining "freemen." If the government exercises a military action, it could precipitate a civil war. The proper focus should now move from the militias to the courts. Both the statutory and Common law courts will get their opportunity to demonstrate lawful process in action.

Is the media and the government going to stand there in broad daylight and tell the American people that we cannot execute due process in our own country? That our government is not accountable to us? That the American people must be slaves in our own country to a foreign power that has no lawful right to be here? I don't think so. This may be the greatest opportunity of all time for the truth to be told, evident for all who are awake to witness. [SOURCE: Confidential Affidavit and NANS New Analysis; Reviewed by John David, Van Hove, Managing Editor]

Oklahoma City Bombing Update

The one-year anniversary of the Oklahoma City bombing is arriving on April 19th, with hearings to begin on April 9th in Denver, Colorado and the trial forthcoming this summer. Who says justice is swift? Still, the foolish and gullible public is being deceived and dissuaded from thinking or acting independently

of the government position. The patriot press and independent investigations have uncovered mountains of evidence to indicate that the bombing was not a militia action, that our own government or factions within it were responsible for the bombing, not the accused McVeigh and Nichols. The government has destroyed and suppressed evidence that would determine who might have been ultimately the responsible party. Whether we will ever know the truth remains to be seen. This is a very important trial and we must watch the media very carefully. It will not get the kind of media coverage that O.J. Simpson enjoyed, and it will be heavily propagandized. [SOURCE: NANS Analysis; Reviewed by John David, Van Hove, Managing Editor]

Broderick Raided By The FBI Without Warrants

At the same time Schweitzer was being arrested, the FBI was busy breaking into the homes and offices of Elizabeth Broderick, Adolf Hoch and his daughter, stealing office equipment, computers, phones and information and terrorizing their staff at gunpoint. They also broke into the offices of the Common Law Supreme Court for San Bernardino confiscating equipment and court records. When asked for a search warrant, the agents simply replied, "We don't have a warrant." They proceeded with their police action without interruption. This is a prime example of unlawful process! This kind of action has become commonplace in America. No one is safe and secure in either their homes or businesses. These are acts of war against the American people.

Meanwhile, a U.S. Attorney was attempting to secure a Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) from a federal judge, to prevent Elizabeth Broderick Workshops from teaching about, issuing or circulating information about liens, warrants or documentary drafts. Here's another form of censorship in common practice today.

Broderick has been issuing documentary drafts against her perfected lien against Orange County and the State of California. She has been giving away millions of dollars of partial assignments to discharge the debts of individuals who are having their homes foreclosed on by the banks or can no longer manage their bank debt. Even though the federal judge ruled that the search warrant was defective on its face, as were the arrest warrants, he did not order the FBI to return the stolen items.

The government's intention was to disrupt and destroy the business and credibility of Broderick

SPECIAL REPORTS

so that these warrants could no longer be issued and debts no longer discharged. Desperate as our government is, they were willing to take any police action necessary to accomplish it, lawful or unlawful.

If Broderick had been violating the law and passing off "fraudulent checks" as they claim, they could have arrested her anytime over the last couple of years. They have failed to produce any evidence or law that has been broken. She has been in the federal and bankruptcy courts requesting a ruling on the validity of the liens and the courts have refused to provide a ruling to date. The courts have refused to rule for lack of jurisdiction over either the subject matter or the individual. So in their desperation, the feds violate the law in broad daylight, leaving the burden of proof on their victims to defend themselves against these unwarranted attacks while trying to associate Broderick with the freemen in Montana, to scare and dissuade people from using these documentary drafts or pursuing their continued education about what is going on in America.

These are not "fraudulent checks" as the media has insisted. It is clear that the so-called "press" hasn't a clue as to the difference between a check and a documentary draft. These warrants are not "checks," but documentary drafts which are valid only to discharge legitimate bank or institutionalized debt. This has been clearly communicated in the workshops, although many people still do not understand the difference.

You cannot buy anything with them (e.g., a car, catalog items, real estate etc.). If you attempt this, then you go to jail. This is admittedly illegal conversion of funds and fraudulent. But there have only been a few examples of individuals who have misused the documentary drafts, and these are the examples being used in the media's reporting. There is a half-truth to the accusation, but the misuse of a documentary draft lies with the user not the issuer. Is the bank responsible for the individual who writes checks without sufficient funds? No, the individual is liable not the bank. The same would apply to Schweitzer, Broderick and the documentary drafts.

The entire international banking system is built upon the lien process—both Common law and commercial affidavits. Commercial banks do not have gold/silver on reserve, only the 17 major international banks do. Instead they build their reserves, from which they create and loan "money," from the use of a deposited lien as collateral. The paper money substitute we call "money" is in fact a partial assignment of a commercial lien that circulates as "money." Paper currency is not "money" at all but a Federal Reserve Note or Fraud Reserve Note (FRN). The fraudulent checks or so-called money is perpetrated by, created by and traded by the international bankers

and their respective bankrupt corporate governments on a daily basis.

After the break-ins by the FBI and despite the lack of a search warrant, the U.S. Attorney has been successful in obtaining a TRO, with the aid of a federal judge practicing law from the bench in open courtroom. He personally made amendments to the complaint, crossing out the improper language and initialing the changes himself. He then approved the TRO. This is a ridiculous and arrogant show of ignorance and an obviously intentional effort to recuse himself from this case. He was angry to hear the defendant's case and seemed poised to dispatch his agents for immediate arrest if the TRO was violated. Thus, Broderick decided to obey the TRO until a legal challenge was mounted all the way to the highest courts in the land.

The TRO was posted on the doors of the Essex House Convention Center, where 500+ attendants were arriving for a weekend of educational seminars. Elizabeth Broderick, Adolf Hoch and associates were forbidden to speak about or process liens or warrants. The national media was present hoping to get a scoop, and the FBI was busy taking notes. Anyone violating the TRO would be subject to arrest for contempt of court.

Since the break-ins and confiscations of office equipment by the FBI, Broderick's business has suffered total systems breakdown. People attending the workshop were notified that liens and warrants would not be discussed or issued because of the TRO. Only a few people decided to cancel because of it. Interest in continued education in other areas of sovereignty, Citizenship and the nature of the political, economic and legal systems is still high at the workshops. The TRO will ultimately backfire on the government as the truth cannot be suppressed. The key to overturning the TRO lies in its prohibition of "non-consensual liens" only. All of Broderick's liens have been perfected through accommodation, and are "consensual" since proper notice and grace had been given. This will be brought forward in open court.

Instead of being defeated, a new seminar company will be formed and expanded focusing on general education, not liens and warrants, until such time as justice can finally be served. Take the seminar on the road, revitalize the Saturday advanced workshops, and explore other remedies to forestall foreclosures and defeat the bank fraud besides the liens and warrants. Thomas Schauf, CPA and expert witness has a successful strategy for discharging mortgage contracts and credit card bills based on revealing in open court that every mortgage contract is a fraud.

You can also, as a sovereign individual, update the land patent on your property and challenge the banks to prove that their mortgage is higher

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

evidence of title than a land patent. No statutory court has any jurisdiction to rule on issues of title, and land patents have always been upheld when challenged. These are perfect cases for the Common law courts and several cases have already been decided in favor of the individual with a land patent having the higher title than a bank, decided in a court of competent jurisdiction—the Common law court.

The liens already perfected can be assigned and deposited as collateral to offshore trust accounts (e.g., Kingdom of Hawai'i, Belize, Turks & Caicos, Isle of Man) for the respective state republics. Monetize them and finance the de jure governments in formation worldwide. Liens are not tools to be used for personal gain or greed. Work out the appropriate legal challenges in both the Common law and statutory jurisdictions until We the People prevail. [SOURCE: Interview with Elizabeth Broderick, Adolf Hoch; NANS Analysis; Reviewed by John David, Van Hove, Managing Editor]

Moore Arrested For Perfecting Lien in Oklahoma

Robert Moore, (aka Rocket) of Salem, Oregon was arrested in Oklahoma at the County Recorder's Office, along with John Newall, after having perfected and recorded a \$220 billion Common law lien against Ford Motor Company. He had leased a 1995 Ford Probe and paid off Ford Motor Credit with a certified banker's check issued by Leroy Schweitzer for double the amount owed. The tender was accepted and deposited by Ford Motor Credit without question. U.S. Bank failed to follow the proper procedures for processing the "gold" check and failed to honor it. The check was never returned for insufficient funds.

Robert perfected the lien for conversion of the lease by Ford Motor Company. He couldn't get the County Recorder in any county of Oregon to file the lien as every office has been noticed by the U.S. Attorney to refuse to file any liens not "authorized" by them. After filing and recording the UCC 4, the "True Bill," they were arrested and incarcerated without warrants. They had not yet filed or recorded the UCC 1 & 3 forms, although they were charged with fraud on these as well. The charges have now been changed three times from 16 counts of forgery to attempting to file a fraudulent document. The U.S. Attorney in Oklahoma City, the FBI and Janet Reno are already involved in the case.

Bail was lowered from \$65,000 to \$30,000 by a judge after a three-page article in the local newspaper detailed the case and Robert's side of the story

was told during an interview from the jail. \$4,500 in cash was raised and the two men were released. Roger Widner filed an Amicus Curiae: Writ of Habeas Corpus along with another friend. Jamison White covered the case live from prison and the county was deluged with hundreds of calls from around the country.

Although a filing officer in the County Recorder's office is not liable either civilly or criminally for accepting lien filings, the Attorney General of the State of Oregon has recently decreed that ORS, Chapter 73, Article 3 of the UCC no longer recognizes "negotiable instruments," and that default signatures will no longer be recognized by the State. If that's the case, then the IRS and other agencies that routinely use the lien process in securing property without the consent of the lien debtor can no longer lawfully operate within the State. If We the People cannot utilize the lien process then neither can We the International Bankers and the government who acts on their behalf. You can't have a double standard of justice, one for the bankers and the government and another for the people.

They are afraid to give a Common law lien a filing number at the County Recorder because a registered lien is in essence a bank account with a line of credit up to the lien amount with the UCC office of the Secretary of State. The bankers and the government are afraid that the people might catch on to the fraud that has been perpetrated against them since 1913, and are trying to quash anyone who dares to compete with the existing power structure. Schweitzer, Broderick and Moore are three sovereign individuals who are competing with the international bankers, and they are being attacked for that reason alone.

Moore approached the International Monetary Fund via Swiss America Bank and they made a determination in writing that his perfected lien was indeed a valid bill of exchange. What talk about fraudulent checks. These liens are good for 99 years and the statutory court cannot remove them. [SOURCE: Interview with Robert Moore; NANS Analysis; Reviewed by John David, Van Hove, Managing Editor]

People Using Liens Dubbed Paper Terrorists

A report was aired on Peter Jennings on November 2, 1995 attacking the people using the commercial lien process as remedy in the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) and dubbed them "paper terrorists." Everytime we expose the system for what

SPECIAL REPORTS

it is the power structure comes up with a new designation for "terrorist." It was the international bankers, attorneys and de facto governments that came up with the UCC in the first place to execute a bankruptcy against the people. Now they are being held accountable to the same standard of justice, and they don't like it one bit. Liens level the playing field and make public officials accountable to the law!

The people using the lien process against judges, politicians, law enforcement personnel and media are completely and perfectly within the law as proven in a recent court decision in the 14th Judicial Circuit in Texas. The lien process is *res judicata* meaning "it is already decided" and the party that had been lienied is stuck with the penalty. [SOURCE: *Peter Jennings, ABC; American's Bulletin; Reviewed by John David, Van Hove, Managing Editor*]

Common Law & Commercial Lien Process

Liens are the underlying basis for the entire international banking system. Liens are the foundation of all commerce and are tradeable, exchangeable, transferable and discountable securities. They are based on commercial affidavits which is also the basis of the entire legal system—swearing under oath to tell the whole truth and nothing but the truth. An act of Congress (e.g., budget) is a true "bill" or invoice which obligates federal U.S. citizens to pay the "bill" through income taxes and other excises. When your rights have been violated, your property taken, or a crime committed against you, then a true "bill of particulars" under the Common law specifies the nature of the offense or complaint. The three parts of a perfected lien include: 1) true bill or complaint; 2) debtor; 3) assign.

Common law liens are non-negotiable instruments exchangeable for gold/silver which is lawful money. International banks pay debts with each other in gold/silver, not commercial paper. Commercial liens are commercial paper and negotiable instruments. Commercial liens imply a debt in the absence of any lawful money. Commercial banks discharge debts with each other in debt currency or negotiable instruments, not gold/silver. If a bank or corporation cannot meet its financial obligations, then the parties can renegotiate or the creditor initiates a "hostile takeover" of the debtor.

This is done through the commercial lien process. When the IRS sends you a "Notice of Intent to Lien" they are noticing you of their intent to attach a commercial lien to your property for a purported tax "bill." In the case of the IRS, the true "bill" and the

assessment is a fraudulent and imperfect commercial lien process because it is not based on a sworn commercial affidavit or true "bill." A perfected lien is based on a sworn affidavit of truth and a true "bill" that stands unrefuted at-law.

When you write a check for \$20.00, you've just put a lien the bank for \$20.00. When the bank supposedly "loans" you "money" they have just put a lien on the collateral or securities you've posted against the bank credit purportedly loaned you. This bank credit was created from thin air, which is illegal, and is hypothetically based on a perfected commercial lien. Once a commercial lien is perfected, it is a saleable, tradeable, exchangeable security like any other stock, bond, or mortgage contract. It is depositable in a bank in exchange for bank credit. Then the bankers can write checks directly on these deposited liens under the Uniform Commercial Code.

There are three parts of a perfected lien. First, the true "bill" or invoice is properly noticed and answered. If the true "bill" once served is ignored or unanswered it becomes the truth in commerce by default as a U.C.C. 4 Form. Then the debtor is noticed of your intent to lien. If they fail to answer, you list them as the debtor on a U.C.C. 1 Form and you as the assign on a U.C.C. 3 Form. Then you get a court judgment from an Article III court and you're on the road to a perfected lien. These perfected liens or securities can now be tendered for deposit upon a commercial bank or sold.

[CAUTION: do not attempt to perfect a lien without the finest attention to detail and lengthy study. A lien is a double-edged sword and can cut both ways if improperly administered. This is a powerful tool of liberty. Do not misuse or abuse the process for greedy or self-interested purposes. You do not have enough information in this article to perfect a lien.] [SOURCE: *American News Service, Fall '95, p.7; also excerpted from the Sovereign American's Handbook, 2nd ed.; Reviewed by John David, Van Hove, Managing Editor*]

Broderick Workshops, Liens & Warrants

I have been duly impressed by the professionalism and competence of **Elizabeth Broderick Workshops, the Lien Center** and the **Common Law Supreme Court for San Bernardino**. **Elizabeth Broderick** and her general manager **Adolf Hoch** have put together a great organization that is introducing thousands of people to sovereignty issues while offering extraordinary remedies for people saddled with

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

mortgage debt, foreclosures or other institutionalized credit (e.g., credit card bills) obligations.

By offering documentary drafts as gifts to the participants to "get-even" with their bank credit, many people have been given a new chance in their financial lives. The bankers never in a million years conceived that we might figure out their system and start playing by their rules. Only this time the banks and corporations are holding the bag!

The people, once sovereign, can not only reclaim their judicial powers but their economic powers to discharge debt on a bank-to-bank basis. We the People are the courts, the bank and the government! No kidding.

These documentary drafts are being issued as partial assignments against Broderick's perfected \$180 million liens against **Orange County** and the **State of California**. Since December, Broderick Workshops have grown from 75 to 600 participants every other week in Lancaster, California. There are people from every race, class, creed and color flying in from all around the country to find out if this can be real. It is real folks, and lawful.

Although many people are motivated by the greed factor, they get a lot more than they bargained for when they leave. They learn about sovereignty issues and the other tools available for exercising their rights and freedoms.

The participants in Broderick's Workshops are also taught about the Common law lien process. You must be a sovereign individual to perfect a lien. You must quiet title before a Common law court with a jury of your peers, asservate your status, give the proper NOTICE & GRACE to government agencies, then secure *res judicata* on your quiet title. [SOURCE: NANS Correspondent]

Reflections on the Freemen, Indigenous People & the Common Law

If we were playing a word association game and I said "Freemen" not many people would respond with "Indian." But the modern patriot movement and the ongoing struggles of indigenous people are closely linked; the word "sovereignty" being an example of a shared value heard about often in both camps. It goes deeper than that though. It reaches into the fundamental concept of Common law.

Frazzled journalists must find it difficult to grasp the essence of the patriot community's discussion of Common law, lapsing instead into simple

demonization of the Freeman; a treatment they may well deserve—but not in the media. Mindless sensationalist sound bites are cheating the people out of one of the more interesting cultural discussions to come along in a while. (Yes, even better than the O.J. trial).

It's true that most of us have no idea what the Common law is supposed to be. Our constitutions say it's the law of the land—except when replaced by statutes. The patriots say it has its foundations in the Bible. But even a cursory excursion into the vibrant venue of the Common law court phenomena currently sweeping the patriot community, will reveal fundamental disagreements over details about how to revive the Common law in our nation. There is a consensus however, that it must be revived, which is only natural.

The popular support the militia-patriot movement has been enjoying (despite media attempts to make it look stupid) is due to a common sentiment that a fictitious (i.e., corporate) entity is encroaching on peoples liberties by leaps and bounds. Some have already been choked by it; their traditional lifeways and beliefs have been threatened and disrupted. They have been colonized, so to speak, by an invading power—he corporate/ political/globalist elite. It occupies with force of rules, regulations, tribunals and army of officers.

The founding fathers of America knew that the right of the people to live, move and have their being was rooted in their own organic law. They also knew if people were to loose touch with that organic law, the country would be vulnerable to invasion from armies without, or an incursion by a corporate state from within. So they wrote documents and set up a government designed to preserve the organic relationship of the people to each other. They relegated the federal government to ten square miles and a few outposts so that it was prohibited from interfering in that organic relationship. It was a wise arrangement.

At the heart of the Common law the people carried across the sea were two universal principles that when ignored always cause hardship and sorrow. These are: 1) Do all you agree to do; 2) Do not encroach on other people or their property. It is a cruel paradox of history that many of the colonizers forgot to apply their lofty principles to everyone, especially when everyone else knew the Common law rules too, and it was obvious who was breaking them.

However, it is still an incontrovertible fact that those same Common law breakers knew how valuable the Common law was. Let's face it; this country was established by radical, white, narrow-minded men who had some very good ideas. Such good ideas in fact, that people from all nations still clamor to

SPECIAL REPORTS

come here, sneaking in if they have to. And all of us here are quite content to pursue our right to happiness on this finagled land and to plead the 1st, 2nd, 5th, or whatever Amendment happens to embody the solution to our desperation.

There is another fundamental principle in Common law, that of restitution. Instead of denying the fact that this national castle is built half on the rock of Common law, and half on the sands of usurpation, we must apply the law to our own breach by confession and restitution. After all, we are all beginning to understand how uncomfortable it is to be colonized.


All people share the fundamental characteristics of identifying with their groups which are described by language, culture, tradition, beliefs, morals and relationship to property—both real and personal. The Common law springs from spiritual morals and philosophical principles and it preserves the sphere of common interaction for all societies. This is as true for white patriots in Montana as it is for the brown Ye'kuana of Venezuela, who incidentally are very unhappy about a UN biosphere reserve being foisted upon them. Sound familiar?

The conversations of colonized people tend to resonate from nation to nation and tribe to tribe as they strive to hold on to the things that uniquely identify them. Note for example the similarities between the following statements taken from the **Abya Yala News**, the journal of the South and Meso **American Indian Rights Center**, and the often repeated comments of the radical patriot community:

"They have superimposed artificial and arbitrary borders over a cultural and historical geography; they have tricked us into thinking we can obtain justice in their courts; they have undermined the peoples' justice systems; they define us with legal language that does not define the nature of our character; democratic fictions...enable(d) the oscillation between democracy and dictatorship; true Indian liberation will begin when we assume our condition of immemorial identity, when we abandon the entitlements of the national states that dilute and disavow us."

Only those who have been thoroughly re-educated by the occupying powers insist on perpetuating the illusion that the corporate state represents the interests of the people and their commons. [SOURCE: Editorial by NANS; Reviewed by Esther Holmes, Contributing Editor]

.....



INDEPENDENT PRESS

*'There is no such thing at this date
of the world's history in America
as an independent press.*

You know it, and I know it.

*There is not one of you
who dares to write your honest opinion,
and if you did, you know beforehand
it would never appear in print.*

*I am paid weekly to keep my honest opinion
out of the paper.*

*Others of you are paid similar salaries
for similar things,*

*and any of you who would be so foolish
as to write honest opinions
would be out on the streets
looking for another job..."*

—John Swinden,

*former head of the New York Times;
toasting the independent press
at the National Press Club, 1953*

Network Reports

Beyond the Cascadian Resource Center

(continued from p. 1)

We are working with the Common law courts (e.g., **Our One Supreme Court, Common Law Supreme Court for Oregon republic**) to upgrade the rules and procedures and boilerplate specific causes and actions (e.g., quiet titles—status and property, traffic, taxes, child support, hemp etc.).

CRC is developing sovereign scrips and sovereign banking as an alternative to the Federal Reserve Banking system.

CRC is providing management, direction, funding, vision and competence to develop new sustainable businesses, including but not limited to environmental technologies (e.g., solar-hydrogen gensets), media production and organic agriculture.

CRC is developing a script for a feature film project to pitch to investors that dramatizes sovereignty issues in an action film. We are actively engaged in building international alliances and diplomatic relationships with other sovereign people and nations

We invite your ideas, proposals and energy to assist in the management and implementation of these lofty, yet realistic goals. The time is now for coming together. [SOURCE: CRC Staff]

Corporate PR Firm Zeroes in on Radicals

Public relations firm **Mogoven, Biscoe and Duchin (MBD)**, whose clients include giants like **Monsanto, DuPont, Phillip Morris and Shell Oil**, specializes in a tactic it calls "Public Policy Intelligence." MBD's Vice President **Ronald Duchin**, studies anti-government/corporate activists and divides them into four categories: realists, idealists, opportunists and radicals.

Realists are easiest to manage, he says, because they are willing to work within the system and can live with trade-offs. Idealists are easily manipulated through guilt, and opportunists are controlled through their greed. Most difficult are the radicals, ac-

ording to the firm. They believe in the power of the people and must therefore be isolated. [SOURCE: Food & Water Journal, Winter 1996; Reviewed by Esther Holmes.]


Expansion of the News Service & Establishing a Web-Site

Beginning with the Spring 1996 issue, we are changing our name to the **North American News Service** in conjunction with the **Global News Service** and expanding our coverage of important events to 12 distinct systems. We will continue to provide unique and specialized coverage of sovereignty issues in North America and around the world.

We are developing a major web-site in conjunction with the **Global Environmental Solutions Corp** for launch in September 1996 which will feature our news services along with the **ACCESS: Resource Directory**. This provides information and access to resource people, organizations, businesses, projects, programs and media worldwide that are involved in the cutting edge of change, innovation, culture and the restructuring of our political, economic and legal systems. We will also network and link comprehensively with other web-sites and information providers.

On-line Pavillions and In-Print Departments will include: Business, Communications & Networking, Community, Education, Environment, Food, Shelter, Energy & Technology, Health & Nutrition, Government, Political & Social Change, Sovereignty, Spiritual, Visual & Performing Arts.

We invite your inquiries, questions, information and resources. We need your input and sources to make this the most comprehensive news service available on-line or in-print. [SOURCE: NANS Staff]



Nyack College Offers Scholarships to Home Schoolers

Homeschooled students can receive up to \$12,000 toward college costs at Nyack College in New York. The fully accredited four-year Christian liberal arts school is offering freshmen and transfer students enrolling for fall of 1996 or later, the opportunity to request \$1,000 for each year they have been home schooled. The college evaluates home schooled students on scholastic aptitude and scholastic achievement test scores

For information: Miguel Sanchez Director of Admissions, 1 South Boulevard, Nyack, New York, 10960-3698 or phone (914) 358-1710. [SOURCE: Focus on the Family, March 1996; Reviewed by Esther Holmes.]



Eagle Eye on Biodiversity Treaty

The Biodiversity Treaty was not ratified during the 103rd Congress, but be vigilantly watching for it to resurface during the next session. **Senator Jesse Helms** has vowed that he will squash the treaty as long as he holds his position as chairman of the Foreign Relations Committee.

If Senator Helms is not re-elected in '96, Indiana's **Dick Lugar** is next in line to chair that committee if the republicans hold the majority. Dick Lugar is much more tolerant of the global agenda than is Senator Helms.

Of the nations that signed the Conven-

NETWORK REPORTS

tion on Biodiversity, 130 have already ratified it, with 27 left to go. Meanwhile, the UN is busy creating bureaucracies that will implement the treaty worldwide.

Among the points to be implemented is the **Wildlands Project** which sets up an extensive system of protected cores, buffer zones and corridors around the world. These areas have already been set aside through UNESCO's Man and The Biosphere Program (MAB).

There are currently 47 MAB reserves in the United States. When the Biodiversity Treaty is ratified by Congress, the bureaucratic machinery will already be in place to immediately lock down millions of acres of land in America. [SOURCE: Multiple Land Use Review, March/April 1996]

~~~~~

## Politics and Religion Merge in the Environmental Stew

Environmental protection should be based on scientific principles but laws like the Endangered Species Act cannot be justified by this approach. Increasingly, the government is attempting to stir up religious values to get the population to support environmental policies according to author and lecturer Alston Chase.

As evidence he cites a statement made by Interior Secretary Bruce Babbitt at a speech last year: "Words from Genesis...reveal the religious values manifest in the 1973 Endangered Species Act...it is left to us to translate a moral imperative into a way of life and into public policy." Mr. Chase says, "It may only be a matter of time before America becomes a complete theocracy—a place where science and religion fuse with civil authority to rule the populace." [SOURCE: Multiple Land Use Review, March/April 1996]

~~~~~

UN Pushing Mandate on Yellowstone

The **New World Mining Consortium** is seeking approval for operation of a gold mine about three miles from **Yellowstone National Park**. The company had been working to satisfy environmental standards administered by the US Department of Interior and the Environmental Protection Agency when the UN intervened, officially declaring Yellowstone in danger.

Yellowstone is under a 1972 **World**

Heritage Treaty which established the national treasure as a World Heritage site. According to the World Heritage Convention signed by President Jimmy Carter in 1978—if a world Heritage site is threatened the UN may call for "dramatic intervention."

Assistant Secretary of the Interior **George Framton**, formerly head of the Wilderness Society, pledged to produce a plan soon that will satisfy the UN. The Greater Yellowstone Coalition, a coalition of environmental groups that coordinate with the UN is suggesting a buffer zone of 18 million acres be established around the entire World Heritage site.

Senator Conrad Burns, R-Montana, said, "If the American people are outraged whenever we place our military forces under an international command, such as the UN, then we should be just as outraged whenever we let an international organization delve into and set domestic policy." [SOURCE: Human Events, Feb. 9, 1996, Multiple Land Use Review, March/April 1996]

~~~~~



## State of Washington Legislators Looped on Last Day

The Washington State legislature in Olympia collapsed amid confusion just after midnight March 8, 1996 in what was described by some of them as a 'zoo' and an 'orgy.' A portion of lawmakers had started partying at dinner the night before, thinking the session was about to end. But by Friday night, with munchies and booze flowing freely from business lobbyists, the looped lawmakers were still arguing over spending on state trust lands.

The party-like atmosphere added to the chaos, which was further exacerbated when a small group of Seattle democrats gathered in one corner of the House floor and burst out in song. They chimed "Going to Hawaii, and we're gonna get married," to the tune of "Going to the Chapel..." in support of recent Hawaiian legislation supporting same-sex

marriages.

All the while alert business lobbyists worked diligently to push through last minute tax breaks for business, racking up a total of \$20 million all together. "I wish I could describe what happened," said **Speaker of the House, Clyde Ballard**, R-Wenatchee. "I've been around here a long time and that was the doggondest mess I've ever been in." [SOURCE: The Spokesman Review, March 10, 1996; Reviewed by Estar Holmes.]

~~~~~

National Debt Now at \$13 Trillion?

The official tally of the national debt is not somewhere over \$5 trillion, but the real figure could be closer to \$13 trillion, according to **Robert Gaylon Ross** writing for **Media Bypass Magazine**. During the government budget crisis shutdowns last December and January, **Treasury Secretary Robert Rubin** admitted he was borrowing money from trust funds to keep the government afloat—"a simple electronic entry," he called it.

Unfortunately, there is no real-life container that holds these trust funds. As money funnels into the Treasury it lands in a general fund. When that fund is empty, anything borrowed from any purported funds is just another electronic debit entry.

Investment banker and chairman of the CFR, **Peter G. Peterson** announced on C-SPAN in April of 1994 that the government had already borrowed over \$7 trillion from the Social Security Trust Fund. How much has been raided from the government's 47 other trust funds? Whatever that figure is, it is invisible debt which the government terms "off-budget" items.

The invisible debt is also contributed to by the "Black Budget" which funds our nation's huge intelligence apparatus. This part of the budget is off limits to scrutiny because of national security. Another source of off-budget debt is programs that are currently being underfunded, such as the federal pension plan which has been getting shortchanged to the tune of \$1 trillion since 1993. Add all this up and the total national debt could be at \$13 trillion or beyond. [SOURCE: Media Bypass Magazine, April 1996]

~~~~~



HEALTH & NUTRITION:  
HEALTH & NUTRITION:  
HEALTH & NUTRITION:  
HEALTH & NUTRITION:  
HEALTH & NUTRITION:  
HEALTH & NUTRITION:

## Colloidal Silver Returns to Popular Use

Colloidal silver is a marvelous substance that benefits both humans and animals if made properly (yellow preferred) but before colloidal silver can be effective it must be small enough to pass through the cell membrane to enter the cell (0.001 microns).

Colloidal silver fell out of favor during the 1940's when antibiotics were discovered and pharmaceutical companies encouraged medical doctors to use them.

Colloidal silver can kill 650 various bacteria, as well as viruses and fungi on contact within six minutes. [SOURCE: Dr. Garry Smith, Provo, Utah; Mark Metcalf.]

## Irradiated Onions Tempt Palates Near Hanford

Clientele of Baron's Beef and Brew near the Hanford Nuclear Reservation clamored for their share of irradiated onions, according to Baron's co-owner Vicki Silvermail. The onions were part of a promotional package offered by New Horizon Technology, Inc., a new company trying to get the food irradiation business to catch on. Partners in the venture include two scientists at the DOE funded laboratories at Hanford, David Eakin and Mike Brown. While they are raising the \$5 million needed to build their facility, they have an agreement with DOE to use Hanford's cobalt-60 irradiator.

Hanford is one of the world's largest nuclear waste dumps and houses a large stock of cesium-137, a product of the nuclear energy and weapons industries. Cesium-137 can also be used in irradiators, but it's not often done because of environmental contamination associated with it. Irradiation watchdogs are concerned that if the food irradiation business does catch on, current stores of cobalt-60 won't be enough to meet demand. Then that handy supply of cesium-

137, which the DOE is always anxious to gets off its hands, will look mighty mouth-watering, to some people. [SOURCE: Food & Water Journal, Winter 1996; Reviewed by Esther Holmes.]

## Why We May Never Read the Book on AIDS

HIV is a mere wimp that cannot be the cause of AIDS, according to Dr. Peter Duesberg, a virologist with the University of California-Berkeley. Dr. Duesberg, a scientist of international stature, is credited with defining retroviruses.

He says HIV has been highly over rated as a killer. His theory holds that co-factors, especially drugs used to manage the disease, are the actual killers. About 200 other doctors and researchers are coming up with similar conclusions. One even injected HIV infected blood into his own veins to prove the point

Bryan J. Ellison, one of Dr. Duesberg's graduate students, wrote a book called, **Why We Will Never Win the War on AIDS**. However, we may never get to read it. Federal Judge, John E. Sprizzo granted an injunction to halt publication of the book and has ordered all existing copies destroyed. Mr. Ellison had decided to print 20,000 on his own after he had signed a contract with Alfred J. Regenry. Apparently, Regenry was the second publisher to agree to print the book and change their minds. Regenry says they are still planning to publish the book sometime. [SOURCE: Media Bypass, Vol 4, No. 3; Reviewed by Esther Holmes]

POLITICAL & SOCIAL ACTION:  
POLITICAL & SOCIAL ACTION:  
POLITICAL & SOCIAL ACTION:  
POLITICAL & SOCIAL ACTION:  
POLITICAL & SOCIAL ACTION:  
POLITICAL & SOCIAL ACTION:

## Collins Gets C.U.R.E. Nomination

The Constitutionally Unified Republic for Everyone (C.U.R.E.) Convention held on February 19th and 20th in Wichita, Kansas teetered on the edge of procedural anarchy and event organizer Walter Myers was accused of suspending Robert's Rules of

Order so he could sabotage the election of Charles Collins as C.U.R.E.'s 1996 candidate for president.

Nevertheless, Collins easily won a first-ballot victory with 138 votes. California State Senator Don Rogers came in second with 64 votes and Dr. John Yiamouyiannis (Dr. Y) received 24 votes. Mr. Collins, who was accused by former Arizona Governor Evan Mecham of wanted to be a "good enlightened king," chalked the vitriolic mood of the convention up to the normal heated debates that go on at political conventions. He said they are "for the most part a healthy part of the process."

Also speaking at the convention were, Senator Charles Duke, R-Colorado and Dr. Eugene Schroeder. Senator Duke said he is a "peaceful, lawful, constitutional revolutionary" and "everyone who voted for NAFTA and GATT should be tried for treason."

Dr. Eugene Schroeder said the national state of emergency and martial law must end. He urged state legislatures to draft a resolution urging the repeal of the Emergency War Powers Act of 1933 and the Emergency Banking Relief Act and related emergency measures. [SOURCE: Media Bypass, April 1996]

## Citizens Against Legal Loopholes Launches Campaign

During the week of November 5, 1995, a task force embarked on Washington D.C. and served the President, Congress and the Supreme Court with an "Actual Constructive Notice & Demand," Evidence Package and Public Official Questionnaire. This now paves the way for filing law suits against them individually and in their official capacity for "failure to uphold their oaths of allegiance to the Constitution for the united states of America," and criminal complaints under Title 18

The goal of Citizens Against Legal Loopholes (CALL) is to inundate the court system with 2 million lawsuits, filed simultaneously and sui juris, in pauperis in the U.S. Court of Claims in Washington D.C in a campaign entitled "Gunned Down With Paper."

A constitutional caravan will deliver the 2 million lawsuits to Washington D.C. depart-



# NETWORK REPORTS

ing from San Diego, California immediately following the conclusion of the Republican Party National Convention on August 17th, 1996. Aa media blitz, radio shows, educational forums, national demonstration, and lawsuit day are all planned for the event.

C.A.L.L. documents a declaration by 30 Governors that says: "Our freedoms are no longer safe when they exist only at the sufferance of federal legislators, federal courts and federal bureaucrats...and federal action has exceeded the clear bounds of its jurisdiction under the Constitution, and thus violated the rights guaranteed to the people. The government of 'limited, delegated powers' envisioned by the framers has become a government of virtually unlimited power."—The Williamsburg Resolve, Nov. 8, 1994 [SOURCE: Citizens Against Legal Loopholes Newsletter; Perceptions, July/August 1995 p.79; reviewed by Johnny Liberty]

## Election Year Distracts Attention From Patriot Movement

This is an election year for the President of the United States, Inc. While the media is busy covering the election, the sovereign people of America are busy restoring a constitutional republic and laying the foundation for self-government. Thanks for the time to do our work unincumbered by the media who has little else to do except trash our efforts and dissuade people from participating in these historical undertakings. [SOURCE: NANS Analysis]

## Jerry Brown Speaks Out on Crime Control

A million and a half people are locked up in jails, state facilities and federal institutions. **Corrections Corporation of America** is running 24 prisons and is listed on the stock exchange. The stock went up 100%. This is a \$100 billion industry growing at 10-20%/year. Something really sick is going on here.

The United States incarceration rate is not tied to crime. It's the direct result of the collapse of the economy, the rise of poverty and great economic gaps between people. In New York City, 7 people are seeking work for every job available. The Federal Reserve

policy keeps at least 10 million people out of work of underemployed. The drug war is one of the games to get more convictions and prisoners. By using the military in drug enforcement, the line between civilian and military functions is breached, and you are now in a police state. We are marching toward totalitarianism.

The overall crime rate is not increasing, but our perception of crime is being enhanced by the media. It's the media that manipulates our perception of crime. The media is not reporting facts, but creating facts. One murder projected on the television a hundred times can produce the incarceration of 10,000 people who would otherwise not be locked up. Crime is generated and manipulated by the New World Order while the destruction of wage levels and job opportunities in a competitive, global game ranks the human being below the machine.

Commentary: Jerry Brown is beginning to crack the cosmic egg and realize the common ground between Left and Right. We are all engaged in an educational process that must bridge our differences and unite behind a common goal. [SOURCE: Mark Evans, North Coast XPress, Oct./Nov. 1995, p.14; Commentary by Johnny Liberty]

## Left & Right Join Forces


On October 24th, 1995, fifteen organizations signed a joint petition recommending that the government return to constitutional standards of conduct. These groups run the spectrum of constitutional-rights groups, including: **American Civil Liberties Union, Center for National Security Studies, Citizens Committee for the Right to Keep & Bear Arms, Criminal Justice Policy Foundation, Drug Policy Foundation, Frontiers of Freedom, Fund for Constitutional Government, Gun Owners of America, Independence Institute, International Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement, National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers, National Black Police Association, National Rifle Association, Second Amendment Foundation.**

They call for stricter guidelines for search warrants, independent review outside of law enforcement agencies, hearing processes for abuses of government power and reject pending counterterrorism bills. In conclusion, the

petition stated: "We hope that you will give thoughtful consideration to these issues. The fabric of a society is best bound together by a mutual sense of justice and fairness. Nothing can so swiftly divide a society like the resentment and hostility that are the inevitable fruits of injustice. [SOURCE: Portland Free Press, Nov./Dec. 1995.]

## Texas & California Republicans Resolve To Restore Constitution

The following historic resolution was recently passed by the Texas Republican Party Executive Committee calling for rescinding the emergency powers enacted in 1933. They are calling for a return to constitutional government, the revocation of Executive Orders, and that gold and silver be fully reinstated and maintained as the lawful money and tender of payment of debts within the united states of America. [SOURCE: Ronn Jackson's New Republic, July 15th, 1995.]



## Prayer Wars in the Legislature

The newly appointed House Chaplain of the Kansas State Legislature, **Cecil T. Washington**, always closes his prayers "in the name of Jesus." He says he has a right and duty to pray in that manner. But Jewish legislator Shielia Hochhauser says she's uncomfortable with the prayer, calling it divisive. Fellow democrat Nancy Kirk says it never dawned on her that anyone could be so insensitive. Chaplain Washington says his prayers are for everyone and that they are prayers God has personally given him.

A few moderate republicans support Reps. Hochhauser and Kirk, among them David Atkins who says he knows all faiths can be accommodated because he is a Christian married to a Jewish woman. "There is not just one way to pray," he says.

**Speaker of the House Tom**

(continued on p.51)



# EDITORIAL

(EDITORIAL from p.2)

but you cannot hold the pole for them! New nations are in formation around the world, yet most of the people are not ready to self-govern or take that level of responsibility. Fear, ignorance and our addiction to comfort still rules the common man/woman, if not our desperate struggle for survival. It is for lack of this knowledge that the people perish. De facto governments, corporations and the New World Order are not the greatest obstacles to reclaiming our sovereignty. It is We the People who must remember who we are and cultivate that understanding. **"We have met the enemy and he/she is us."**—Pogo

My life is testimony to how much one individual can accomplish in a very short time if they put their minds and hearts to it. In the last four years, opportunities and doors have been opened, not only for myself but for others devoted to sovereignty. We are blessed and surrounded by angels of light. It is satisfying to watch the lights go on in people's hearts and minds after being exposed to this material. Our challenge is to keep the lights on. This is indeed the purpose for this work. This historical window of opportunity must not close. It is time to awaken, and stay awake now!

## **Hawai'i(an) Sovereignty**

This adventure and whirlwind tour of Hawai'i has launched an educational process in the **Kingdom of Hawai'i** by releasing the **Sovereign Hawai'i(ans) Handbook**. Twelve seminars in sixteen days with hundreds of people attending from both the American and Hawai'i(an) sovereignty movements. Books are selling well and the demand is increasing daily. We expect to sell 2,000 books in the islands in the next couple of months to prepare the way for a return trip in June or July with a delegation from the united states of America to form a proper embassy court. We expect to make a significant impact on the thinking and

begin the unification of the Hawai'i(an) sovereignty movement. Both the American and Hawai'i(an) sovereignty movements have been, for the most part, supportive of our efforts.

We the People can now fund the provisional government for the **Kingdom of Hawai'i** by the partial assignment of a commercial lien, until such time as the Kingdom of Hawai'i perfects it's Common law liens against the usurpers who have stolen these islands. All the titles of these lands are held in trust for the Hawai'i(an) people. There must be competent, reliable and integral individuals who can lift themselves into the role of statesmen/women. The Hawai'i(ans) do not need more politicians or self-interested leadership whose only concern is getting re-elected or keeping their piece of the political pie.

Hawai'i has been ruled by foreigners for generations, partly because the Hawai'i(an) people have been unwilling to learn how to govern themselves, or too busy competing with each other through tribal/clan rivalries. Petty tyrants and many pretenders to the throne keep the people divided, thus conquered as thoroughly as any New World Order can. Better that we all be sovereigns without subjects. I have no interest in lording over anyone and will not support any movement that replaces one set of dictators with another.

## **Coopting the Common Law**

I have witnessed those in Hawai'i who would co-opt the Common law for their own political purposes and keep the people in the dark about lawful process. The people are still too willing to follow like sheeple and not do their own thinking and discernment. The Common law, properly executed cannot dictate to another sovereign without perfected due and lawful process, and a *res judicata* order of the court. You cannot force someone into your venue and jurisdiction. Under the Common law, there are no kings, queens,

popes, judges or nobles lording over the sovereign people. Like a good chief, a good leader can only lead with the consent and support of the sovereign people. A tyrant and dictator is no replacement for good leadership. There must be a spiritual basis for sovereignty, otherwise we are lost. Sovereignty is the intersecting point between the political and spiritual universes, and the Common law is a means for executing discernment and justice.

## **Indigenous People of North American & the World**

Our next research and publishing project, which may take two years to complete, is that of building bridges between the American, Hawai'i(an) and indigenous sovereignty movements worldwide—to provide the tools for sovereignty for all the peoples of the world who have been oppressed and governed by colonial powers for generations, many to the point of obliteration or extinction. The pendulum is beginning to swing against the colonial systems of empire which have dominated history for millenium, as those systems are politically, economically, legally and morally bankrupt. With the onset of a new millenium, a new possibility is emerging that all the people can indeed be sovereign.

The Lumbee Indians of North Carolina will be a test project for building a bridge between the sovereign peoples of the united states of America and their sovereign nation. We will take the Hawai'i(an) model and translate into their respective cultural and political structures inherent to their tribe and culture. Whether American, Hawai'i(an) or Lumbee, all peoples have structures for decision-making, codes of conduct and behavior, justice systems or councils, law-making bodies, chiefs or CEO's. We must learn to build the bridges and create a common language of natural and Common law to implement one standard of justice worldwide based on universal principles.



# REVIEWS



## **You & the Police** by Boston T. Party

If one of your goals in life is to spend as little time as possible being questioned by police, **You & the Police** by Boston T. Party is good reading. Citing applicable court cases, the book clarifies the line between the rights of the people and the legitimate police power of the state. It focuses particularly on encounters with INTIMIDATING COPS and discusses ways to get out of their presence quickly without bruising their egos. Covering everything from preparations before going out in public, to various kinds of police encounters, to what to do after being arrested, the book is an entertaining foray into an inherently dismal subject. [Reviewed by Esther Holmes]

## **101 Most Asked Questions With Answers About the Common Law** by Andy Nicholaw

The Common law is the law of the land but it's hard to find books on the subject. **101 Most Asked Questions With Answers About the Common Law**, by Andy Nicholaw, covers the basics that Americans who are reclaiming their sovereignty need to know. For instance, what exactly is the difference between Common law and Equity Law? What are the seven basic Common law Procedures? How is jurisdiction obtained? And so on.

A companion volume, **We the People Are Watching** addresses the legal aspects of search and seizure, warrants and surveillance. It is a practical guidebook based on sample incidents of search and seizure, and encourages critical thinking and group discussion.

Both books are published by **Blackstone Basic Courses** which offers a correspondence program that teaches the basics of Common law, Common law Courts and United States Constitutional Law. The curriculum was created and developed by **William (Bill) Drexler, J.D.**, who was first to win a willful failure to file income tax case by using the fifth Amendment on his tax returns; and by **Andy Nicholaw, Ph.D.**, an educational professional who is an advocate of the Common law and setting up Common law courts. [Reviewed by Esther Holmes]

## **The Great Snow Job: The Story of Taxes & Money, Fraud & Slavery** by Barrie Konicov

Author Barry Konicov advocates fighting bank fraud and the rip-off of the American people by cancelling personal debts. The modern so-called "money" system, he points out, is sustained by lies, cheating and thievery. Bankers (who are all ultimately linked to the Federal Reserve) create "money" out of thin air every time a person activates the debit/credit system.

In a lawful system, borrowers put up collateral (something real) in order to borrow real wealth that actually comes from deposits or investments at the bank. In our fraudulent system, borrowers are still putting up collateral that was created by their very real labors, but the banks are loaning credit, which is created by electronic computer entries. As a result, bank customers become unwitting enablers to the fraud of the dishonest bankers, and pay interest for the privilege.

Taxpayers, who have become accustomed to paying the government for the mere privilege of existing, have been hoodwinked into the same crooked system of paying back money that never existed in the first place. The private, for-profit corporation called the Federal Reserve, creates debt money out of nothing at the government's request, then the IRS collection agency extracts repayment from the people who as-

sume they have no other choice but to sweat and pay.

**The Great Snow Job** by Barrie Konicov advocates mass credit card and mortgage debt cancellation, on the basis that those unlawful debts are perpetrating the fraudulent nature of the nation's money system; a fraud that is hurling the country toward imminent financial collapse.

Commentary: Barrie's methods are not for everyone, but for those faced with unpayable credit card debts, imminent foreclosure on a mortgage or the prospects of bankruptcy, perhaps this is a possible remedy. [Reviewed by Esther Holmes]

## **Whatever Happened to Justice?** by Richard Maybury

Do your kids, family and friends understand the importance of the common law as the foundation of a free society? If not don't feel bad. Our nation has strayed so far into the dark and tortuous labyrinth of political (legislative) law it's a wonder anyone still knows the way out.

**Whatever Happened to Justice?** by Richard Maybury shines a light onto the path that leads away from the brink of national chaos. His book is a refreshing eye-opener that explores the principle difference between common law and political law and the links between common law and a healthy free-enterprise system. Written in the style of letters from a fictitious Uncle Eric to his young nephew, topics that are often dry and tedious are presented in an understandable, entertaining manner. The book is full of quotes from the founding fathers, down-to-earth examples and stimulating questions, and provides a glossary and suggestions for further reading. It is an obvious choice for the homeschool library, but everyone who plans to be free in the future needs to understand the principles in this book. [Reviewed by Esther Holmes; Available for \$14.95 plus \$2.50 s & h in the U.S. from Bluestocking Press, P.O. Box 1014, Dept. J, Placerville, CA, 95667]

~~~~~


LETTERS TO THE EDITOR



Dear Mr. Liberty,

Thank you for your call today, sorry to take so long in sending for the **Sovereign Americans Handbook**. I have been very busy with some research that could be a big problem for "certain parties" if only the people in mass knew it too.

God bless you for the work you are doing. Thank you so much.

**Donna Thomas
Dayton, Oregon**

Dear Sir,

Your book **Sovereign American's Handbook**, is very educational. I am very interested in Sovereignty, Citizenship, Allodial Titles and Land Patents; The Sovereign Trusts and Central Banking and Currency Systems.

**Clarence P. Miller
Baton Rouge, Louisiana**

Dear Johnny Liberty,

Aloha from Hawai'i! I hope you enjoyed the balance of your stay in the islands after your visit. I would like information about an "International" driver's license, auto registration, etc. I think you mentioned, from the Bahamas. Thank you for your knowledge and willingness to share the vision of the other world order.

**Gary Young
Pahoa, Hawai'i**

Dear Cascadian Resource Center,

I have just finished reading **Reclaiming Your Sovereign Citizenship**. I am an 18-year-old very pissed off, unfortunate U.S. citizen and have fallen prey through the ignorance of my parents. I now realize that from the earliest moment of my existence up until this present moment, I am a trust instrument of the federal government, or a *legal slave* and that everything I ever accumulate in my lifetime belongs to the federal government as collateral against the national debt, and the only way to be free is to

become a sovereign state citizen. Please send me information!

**David Bowens
Brooklyn, New York**

Dear Sirs,

I am a federal prisoner because of the so-called "war on drugs." Since incarceration I have become aware of state Citizenship and feel that this is the way to get the government out of my life after I am released. I became aware of the book **Reclaiming Your Sovereign Citizenship** through **Perceptions** magazine and feel that this book would help me in my pursuits.

**Stephen Oppedahl
Ashland, Kentucky**

Dear CRC,

I am active in the tax movement (I received over \$30,000 in refunds from the IRS and state of Indiana after filing zero income returns in 1994 for 1993 tax year) and have been asked by a number of persons in the movement to locate legitimate, trustworthy asset protection trusts and IBC's that are affordable.

A number of organizations are multi-level marketing offshore trusts, none of which I have found to be solid. I've visit the Cayman Islands, but found the trusts and IBC's to be far too expensive for "Joe six'pack" (though they are VERY solid and excellent asset protection vehicles).

**Tim Deaton
Shelbyville, Indiana**

To Johnny Liberty,

I just read your book! On reflection, we must be dealing with psychopaths. Who could prefer a world where respect is fear and chained minds run cruel machines? What sickness is this? Is this a compensation; a retirement from a boredom. Small compact ridicules, and so absurdly evil that a gothic evil beauty is produced.

The people feel this coming. Some are calling it. Many are running for cover. Many will die, many will work, many deny. Me I laugh, dance, cry. I am a king! But, uh, where's the cover?

Robert Watton

Dear Johnny Liberty,

I am very excited about the future and what it holds for America, I expect it will be a long and hard battle but one that must not only be fought but won. I feel that the only way we can take this country back is for us, "WE THE PEOPLE," to unite and suport each other in out of common and individual efforts.

Leonard Flippen IV

Dear Johnny,

I have been involved with a few struggles for liberty and Constitutional government the last several years I have been involved in tax issues, driving, allodial title and other issues. Currently I am a member of the **Save-a-Patriot Fellowship** that is headed up by John Kotmier. I am interested in a mutual exchange of information if you like, or just knowing what you have to offer.

**Joel Barlett
Florence, Oregon**

~~~~~

*Johnny Liberty*

## Prayer Wars

(Continued from p.48)

**Scholenberger**, whose job it is to appoint and dismiss chaplains says he doesn't think anybody should dictate to a chaplain how he should pray. "I think it's an effort by the minority to paint us as extremists."

[SOURCE:National Public Radio; Reviewed by Esther Holmes.]

~~~~~



Good News for a Change

- ☐ 1 year (4 issues) \$35
- ☐ Postal Money Order Enclosed
- ☐ Send Free Catalog of Publications, Seminars & Services

Name/Organization _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Postal Zone: _____

Make Payable to:

CASCADIAN RESOURCE CENTER
c/o P.O. Box 5290, Eugene, Oregon, usA
Postal Zone: 97405

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

- News From the Frontline
 - Feature Articles
 - Special Reports
 - Network Reports
- ACCESS: Directory
- Seminars & Events
- Reviews & Letters

SUBSCRIPTION FORM

For Media Professionals Who Take Their Job Seriously

- ☐ 1 year (4 issues) \$35
- ☐ Postal Money Order Enclosed
- ☐ Send Free Catalog of Publications, Seminars & Services

Name/Organization _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Postal Zone: _____

Make Payable to:

CASCADIAN RESOURCE CENTER
c/o P.O. Box 5290, Eugene, Oregon, usA
Postal Zone: 97405

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

- News From the Frontline
 - Feature Articles
 - Special Reports
 - Network Reports
- ACCESS: Directory
- Seminars & Events
- Reviews & Letters

SUBSCRIPTION FORM

For People Who Take Their Citizenship Seriously

- ☐ 1 year (4 issues) \$35
- ☐ Postal Money Order Enclosed
- ☐ Send Free Catalog of Publications, Seminars & Services

Name/Organization _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Postal Zone: _____

Make Payable to:

CASCADIAN RESOURCE CENTER
c/o P.O. Box 5290, Eugene, Oregon, usA
Postal Zone: 97405

NORTH AMERICAN NEWS SERVICE

- News From the Frontline
 - Feature Articles
 - Special Reports
 - Network Reports
- ACCESS: Directory
- Seminars & Events
- Reviews & Letters

SUBSCRIPTION FORM

